	DBKBBITH Hearing	12/03/13 Tage 1 01 102
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	BITVESTMENT PARTNERS LLC,	
4	Plaintiff,	
5	V.	13 CV 7632 (RWS)
6 7	COINLAB, INC., CLI HOLDINGS, INC., ALYDIAN INC., PETER VESSENES and JOHN DOE,	
8	Defendants.	
9	x	N
10		New York, N.Y. November 20, 2013
11	Defense	3:06 p.m.
12	Before:	DD#
13	HON. ROBERT W. SW	
14		District Judge
15	APPEARANCES	
16	REYHANI NEMIROVSKY LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff BRYAN ISAAC REYHANI	
17	LOEB & LOEB LLP	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff DANIELLE JANINE KIWAK	
19	NESENOFF & MILTENBERG, LLP	
20	Attorneys for Defendants MARCO AURELIO SANTORI	
21	BRESKIN JOHNSON TOWNSEND PLLC	
22	Attorneys for Defendants ROGER M. TOWNSEND	
23	ROGER II. TOWNOLIND	
24		
25		

DBKBBITH Hearing

1 (In open court) 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. Yes. 3 MR. SANTORI: I think we're waiting for plaintiff's 4 counsel. 5 THE COURT: No, I'm not waiting. 6 MR. TOWNSEND: Thank you, your Honor. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: I'll go find them. MR. TOWNSEND: May it please the Court, my name is 8 9 Roger Townsend. I represent defendants CoinLab and Peter 10 Vessenes. I want to correct at the outset one of the 11 12 implications of your Honor's question. There are more issues 13 here than just the out clause in the contract. At the 14 outset the most significant issue, I believe, is whether this 15 is a money damages case and whether or not any ultimate judgment that could be proven at trial can be satisfied by 16 17 dollars. And I think --18 19 THE COURT: Well, that I don't care about today, the 20 ultimate trial. What I'm dealing with is this application for a preliminary injunction. 21 22 MR. TOWNSEND: Right, your Honor.

THE COURT: And if you're correct that there can't be money damages, then that's irreparable harm.

23

24

25

MR. TOWNSEND: It can be money damages is my

Hearing

1 contention.

THE COURT: Oh, you're saying it can't.

MR. TOWNSEND: Your Honor, even Mr. Gallancy

concedes --

THE COURT: Fellows, it's been a lovely day. I've enjoyed every minute of it. We have until 4 o'clock. If you want to put on any testimony, put the testimony on. As far as the argument is concerned, we'll worry about that when the time comes.

MR. TOWNSEND: Okay. Well, let me just rush through it in three minutes then, your Honor.

I think that it is undisputable that bitcoins are currency. It's the testimony of the Department of Treasury. It's the clear precedent that other courts have done this. It is equivalent, if anything, to a stock, and the precedent of this Court is clear that a case for stock damages can be determined by money damages.

Importantly -- and the testimony will come to this -- will show that the stay must apply in order for the creditors in the Alydian bankruptcy to get valid, because the individuals that are in this courtroom, as well as three others, are the individuals who are employees or contractors of CoinLab, are those that are managing the Alydian project. So if they are pulled out from this case and brought in --

THE COURT: Well, look, forgive me, but I don't think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have anything to do with Alydian.

MR. TOWNSEND: You're right, your Honor, but these witnesses do. These witnesses manage the Alydian project. So if your Honor enters an order that says these individuals need to jump off of Alydian and use best efforts to --

THE COURT: Whatever happens to Alydian, it's not my affairs.

MR. TOWNSEND: Right, your Honor, but under the Second Circuit precedent, if there's a commonality of interest and if these individuals -- if you enter an order that says these individuals need to come out and use best efforts the mine bitcoins --

THE COURT: Well, I've already ordered that. I've already ordered bitcoins to make best efforts, I believe.

MR. TOWNSEND: You have ordered CoinLab to make best efforts and they have commenced that process.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TOWNSEND: Let me tell you what that entails and what that would entail on a preliminary injunction because it is not, as plaintiff's counsel represents, a matter of going on Amazon and ordering like you're going to Best Buy. There are very few -- and they acknowledge this -- there are very few people in the world who can accomplish this project. them are in this room and two of them are managing it for If they're pulled away from Alydian, the creditors of

Hearing

Alydian will lose value. And that is exactly the instance where a stay is appropriate.

Your Honor, what's significant in the contract is the contract provides that CoinLab has to use best efforts to mind bitcoins.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. TOWNSEND: CoinLab has never mined bitcoins; has no bitcoins mined. So under the contract, there are no bitcoins due. That is an undisputable fact, your Honor, and the evidence will show that.

CoinLab may possess bitcoins, but it's no different—
it's a currency. It's no different than CoinLab possessing
dollars or a company that is due dollars possessing dollars.

In fact, it's evidence that a preliminary injunction should not
issue because if they can satisfy a judgment at the end of the
day, after a trial, then it's a reason why there's no
irreparable harm and any damage that they could establish could
be satisfied.

So the fact that CoinLab may or may not have bitcoins — and they do — in fact supports denial of a motion for preliminary injunction. Moreover, your Honor, plaintiff concedes that these bitcoins are fungible. They said, hey, we'll take the bitcoins you've got, we'll take the bitcoins you've mined, we'll take bitcoins from anywhere. Is that not a concession, your Honor, that the bitcoins are fungible? They

DBKBBITH Hearing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are like a dollar. We could have a \$20 bill here, a \$20 bill there. They're both worth \$20. In fact, that's exactly what plaintiff wants. I'll take the bitcoins you've got now, I'll take the bitcoins that are mined.

And keep in mind, your Honor, that the obligation is not to deliver bitcoins at a certain date. The obligation is to mine bitcoins.

THE COURT: Well, I'm trying to put my finger on the contract. Where is it? The contract that's the source of all this.

MR. TOWNSEND: Your Honor, we've brought some evidentiary binders that have the contract.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. TOWNSEND: So Tab 1 is the contract.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MR. TOWNSEND: No, I'm sorry, your Honor. That is not-- yes, that's the contract.

So the obligation --

THE COURT: By the way, by any chance do you have another one? Because I think in duplicate.

MR. TOWNSEND: We have one extra.

THE COURT: Thanks. Okay.

MR. TOWNSEND: The contract provides, at Section 2, that CoinLab will use best efforts to mine the bitcoins. And then to deliver the bitcoins mined to the Dalsa Barbour, which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is allegedly renamed into Bitvestment, the plaintiff.

A couple of other significant clauses under paragraph One is it's not just a matter of, say, once November 1, comes you're going to deliver bitcoins. No, you've got to mine them first. Again, they haven't mined any. There are no bitcoins due.

Secondly -- and the parenthetical here is significant -- it's clear under the contract that bitcoins mined and bitcoins to be delivered are net of capital and operational expenses. We will show you today, your Honor, and the testimony of Mr. Olsen and Mr. Vessenes will show you, that it is not possible under the best effort standards or otherwise to mine bitcoins at a positive rate. The cost to mining bitcoins exceeds the revenue from mining bitcoins. We know this because we've done it. We did it with Alydian and these very people who plaintiff asks to be ordered to pull away from the Alydian projects and to the CoinLab projects to the detriment of the Alydian creditors --

THE COURT: Forgive me. I heard you, but where in the contract do you get to offset the expenses?

MR. TOWNSEND: If you look under paragraph 2, there's a parenthetical and it says "CoinLab will use best efforts to mine" 7,900-some-odd bitcoins "which, for the avoidance of doubt, will mean" it will "dedicate 100 percent of its mining output (other than mining output that is required to satisfy

Hearing

CoinLab's obligations to Crystal Island and mining output that is required to meet CoinLab's appropriate mining operating expenses and capital expenditures subject as approved by all parties)..."

Now, plaintiffs contend they don't approve any expenses, so therefore apparently it's one of those businesses that has no overhead and can be just done for free. Well, that's not the case. And we can testify that not only is—it's a money loser, your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand that point. Okay.

MR. TOWNSEND: Okay? So that is evidence of failure to establish likelihood of success on the merit.

And, in fact, you'll see in Tab 5 this language was included per an e-mail from Mr. Gallancy on Wednesday, August 14th, the date of signature, which includes that parenthetical to be added to the contract to make clear that delivery was net of the operational and capital expenditures. Okay?

And, your Honor, it really shouldn't be a valid dispute that operational and capital expenditures exceed the revenue when the only entity that has ever engaged in bitcoin mining is in bankruptcy because the operational and capital expenditures exceeded the revenue. So I don't think that's a very difficult call.

And then, finally, as your Honor identified, the disclaimer of warranties is broad. It is not, as plaintiff's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hearing

counsel represented, merely a matter of new technologies, although there are new technologies in play, and the declaration of Mr. Olsen will establish that. The chip size necessary to mine bitcoins has changed dramatically over the course of the bitcoin mining projects. They went from a-- and Mr. Olsen can explain this at length-- 110 nanometer, or nanometer, speed to a 65 nanometer. Now the state of the art So the current chips that Alydian did are at 65.

Your Honor, I want to dispel this claim that somehow CoinLab has done nothing in compliance with the best efforts standards. We know from experience, again as plaintiff testifies -- plaintiff argues, these guys are the guys that know what they're doing about bitcoin mining; that it takes nine months. It takes nine months to launch and operate a bitcoin mining expenditure. But the speed of the bitcoin mining network is expanding exponentially, so you really can't know when you get into the project whether you're going to make money on it. And under the best efforts standard, that is not a requirement, that they launch into that business that's going to lose money.

Your Honor, as you could tell, I'm rapidly rushing through these points because I know we are short on time. But I don't think you need to address the issues of likelihood of success on the merit because I don't think there's any dispute. And Mr. Gallancy's testimony, Mr. Vessenes's testimony and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Olsen's testimony, the District Court in Texas, the Department of Treasury, they all state that bitcoins are currency. At worst, they would be equivalent to stock. are all things that are measurable in dollars.

The burden of having to-- I mean, the preliminary injunction, proposed preliminary injunction, is outrageous. It's managing a high-technology business in a way that makes no sense. So if you are to order that these people are going to get into a business that they know is going to lose money, they know is going to require \$6 million at least of capital expenditures if they only go to the current state of the art -if they go ahead into the future state of the art, nine months from now, it will probably cost \$20 million. We're going to go spend the \$20 million, we're going to spend the \$6 million, and we're going to lose money. We know that. We have that experience. We're going to lose money. That should be bonded. Because we could tell you today that it will cost more than it will make. So that needs to be bonded at least at \$6 million because we're going to lose that money. And I don't know that Bitvestment is going to be able to pay that at the end of the day as we get into it for improperly issued preliminary injunction.

And, finally, I don't think there is any dispute -and the proposed preliminary injunction, for reasons unbeknownst to me, includes Mr. Vessenes personally under the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

preliminary injunction. It's totally inappropriate. He is the CEO of CoinLab. He is not a bitcoin miner in his individual capacity.

So, your Honor, I actually do not think this is a very difficult case for preliminary injunction. I think a trial -it's a complicated case. I think we need to have depositions. I think we need to see experts. I think we need to flesh this out through the benefit of discovery as to whether or not you can make money on bitcoin mining. I can present to you today two people who have lived this intimately for over a year and who will testify under oath that you can't make money doing it.

And Mr. Gallancy's surfing the internet and finding things on Amazon, we know-- and we refute each of these points and we can do it. It would take hours to refute each of them, but we can do each of them. None of them will net a positive cash flow. Okay? So Mr. Gallancy thinks that this is about a business that has no overhead. It is not the contract that he entered into and he can not stubbornly refuse to approve capital and operational expenditures and simply rely on that fact.

Unless your Honor has any questions, I'd like to start with Mr. Gallancy to testify.

THE COURT: With whom?

MR. TOWNSEND: Mr. Gallancy himself.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

DBKBBITH Hearing

1 MR. TOWNSEND: Thank you.

2 DANIEL H. GALLANCY,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

called as a witness by the Defendants,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your full name and spell your first name and last name slowly for the record.

THE WITNESS: Daniel H. Gallancy. D-a-n-i-e-l middle initial H, G-a-l-l-a-n-c-y.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you, Mr. Gallancy. Please be seated.

- 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 | BY MR. TOWNSEND:
- 13 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Gallancy. I'm sorry, it sounds like
- 14 | I'm mispronouncing your name. It's Gallancy. Is that
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 17 | Q. And you're a chartered financial analyst. Is that right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And what training did you receive to become a CFA?
- 20 A. I passed a series of exams and satisfied a series of work
- 21 requirements.
- 22 | Q. And that's how long a process?
- 23 | A. The exams take approximately three years. There are ways
- 24 to do it a little bit faster, but approximately three years.
- 25 | Q. And you took three years to do it?

- 1 | A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And in your capacity as a CFA, you have knowledge of
- 3 complex financial models. Is that right?
- 4 A. I have knowledge of financial models.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. Complexity is a matter of subjectivity.
- 7 Q. Sure. But you regularly construct financial models. Is
- 8 | that right?
- 9 A. I have in the past regularly constructed financial models,
- 10 | yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. And usually, in your experience in constructing financial
- 12 | models, most businesses have overhead. Is that right?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. And in the contract that you entered into with CoinLab and
- 15 | Alydian, that contemplated that you would be paid bitcoins
- 16 | mined by CoinLab. Is that right?
- 17 | A. I'm sorry?
- 18 Q. In the contract that you entered into with CoinLab and
- 19 Alydian, that contemplated that you would be delivered bitcoins
- 20 | that were mined by CoinLab or Alydian. Is that right?
- 21 A. The contract said I was to receive 100 percent of CoinLab
- 22 | and Alydian and CLI Holdings' mining output, with the exception
- 23 of that which is to go to Crystal Island were they to
- 24 participate in the deal, and operating and capital expenditures
- 25 as approved by all parties.

1 | Q. Correct.

2

3

4

8

And so it was contemplated at that time that the net of bitcoins would be less payments to Crystal Island. Right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And net payments for capital and operational expenditures.
- 6 | Correct?
- 7 A. Not necessarily.
 - Q. Okay. Why not necessarily?
- 9 A. The project, if fully capitalized, needn't use mining
 10 output for capital expenditures but, rather, would use the
 11 input capital for capital expenditures and operating expenses.
- Q. And upon what basis do you believe that outside money would fund capital and operational expenditures?
- 14 A. On the basis of discussions with the defendant.
- 15 | Q. Who specifically?
- 16 A. Peter Vessenes and the rest of the CoinLab team.
- Q. And they told you that they would just absorb all of the operational and capital expenditures?
- 19 A. We had discussed various different scenarios, amongst them
- 20 the usage of input capital for operating and capital
- 21 expenditures. And also amongst them the usage of mining output
- for capital and operating expenditures. The primary source of
- 23 capital expenditures was to be the input capital.
- 24 | Q. Okay. But certainly it was contemplated that at least a
- 25 portion, you'll acknowledge --

- 1 A. I will not acknowledge. That's not the case.
- 2 | Q. Well, isn't that what you just said?
- 3 A. I said under certain circumstances. We had contemplated
- 4 several different deal structures.
- 5 Q. And you believed you had a veto right for any capital and
- 6 operational expenditures. Correct?
- 7 A. I have a veto right for any capital and operational
- 8 expenditures which I did not intend to use unless the defendant
- 9 made it such that I had to.
- 10 | Q. And you did use it. Correct?
- 11 A. I attempted to use it.
- 12 | Q. Okay.
- 13 A. Although without any real success.
- 14 Q. Okay. And you would acknowledge that the veto right for
- 15 capital and operational expenditures was also a right that all
- 16 parties had. Right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right.
- 19 | A. That's true. For mining output, that is correct.
- 20 | Q. So CoinLab could elect not to spend capital and operational
- 21 | expenditures for mining output. Correct?
- 22 | A. CoinLab could elect not to use the funds from its mining
- 23 | output to fund capital or operating expenditures as long as it
- 24 | fulfilled its requirements to -- if Crystal Island were to
- 25 | invest -- Crystal Island and as long as it were to fulfill its

- 1 operations to Bitvestment.
- 2 | Q. Well, it doesn't say that, does it?
- 3 A. It does say that.
- 4 Q. It says that they only have a veto right provided they paid
- 5 you first?
- 6 A. The language in the contract says that CoinLab will provide
- 7 | 100 percent of mining output until such time that Bitvestment
- 8 receives 7,984-some-odd bitcoins with the exception of mining
- 9 output that would go to Crystal Island or capital and operating
- 10 expenditures as approved by all parties.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. To be clear.
- 13 | Q. And so, therefore, if CoinLab doesn't approve the capital
- and operational expenditures, it won't get spent. Correct?
- 15 | A. Well, the first part of the sentence says "CoinLab is to
- 16 provide 100 percent of mining output until Bitvestment receives
- 17 7,984 bitcoins."
- 18 | Q. So when it says the veto right applies to all parties,
- 19 | what you're really saying it is only applies to you. Is that
- 20 || right?
- 21 | A. No, I'm saying that the veto right would only be-- that's
- 22 | incorrect.
- 23 | Q. Okay.
- 24 A. To be clear --
- 25 | Q. They have the right to the same under the contract.

- 1 | Correct?
- 2 A. Fair enough.
- 3 Q. It applies to all parties equally. Correct?

4 MR. REYHANI: Objection. Asked and answered like 5 three times already.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 MR. TOWNSEND: Well, the answer keeps changing, so I gust want to make clear.

- Q. Each party has a right not to spend the capital and operational expenditures. Correct?
- 11 A. I suppose.

9

10

- 12 Q. Thank you.
- And if they don't expend capital and operational
 expenditures, then necessarily they're not going to mine
 bitcoins. Right?
- 16 A. No, absolutely not correct.
- 17 \parallel Q. And why is that?
- 18 A. Because if the project is capitalized in the first place as
- 19 contemplated, and as it was, the input capital would be
- 20 sufficient to cover capital and operating expenditures. That's
- 21 | the whole point.
- 22 Q. In your capacity as a CFA, do you recommend that people
- 23 engage in businesses that lose money?
- 24 A. I don't make recommendations that people engage in
- 25 | businesses one way or the other in my capacity as a CFA. I

- 1 analyze companies.
- 2 | Q. Okay. And you analyzed this company, Alydian. Correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. You did a detailed financial model of Alydian, did you
- 5 | not?
- 6 A. I did a detailed financial model that would be for this--
- 7 | that would be for a mining project for Alydian and/or CoinLab
- 8 and/or CLI Holdings.
- 9 Q. Okay. So that's a yes. Right?
- 10 A. I just answered your question, sir.
- 11 | Q. So you did a detailed financial analysis of the proposed
- 12 | mining operation that was being run by Hans Olsen and Peter
- 13 Vessenes and the companies that they work for. Is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Among other participants, yes.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And you didn't produce that financial analysis, did
- 17 | you?
- 18 A. Produce it?
- 19 \parallel Q. In this litigation.
- 20 | A. I didn't present a copy of it, no. I presented it to
- 21 | Crystal Island, to Cedar Hill.
- 22 | Q. But you haven't provided it to the Court or in this
- 23 | litigation?
- 24 A. It was not requested.
- 25 | Q. Okay. But you didn't use it-- you have the burden of proof

9

10

- 1 | in this litigation. Right? Okay.
- You would agree that bitcoins are an inherently risky and speculative investment, would you not?
- 4 A. I would agree that bitcoins possess significant risks, 5 yes.
- Q. And you would further agree that bitcoin mining is a risky and unproven investment. Is that right?
 - A. I would agree that bitcoin mining has its risks. It's not unproven insofar as whether or not it is achievable. It is certainly achievable.
- 11 Q. Is it achievable with a positive cash flow?
- 12 A. Depending on its execution, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you didn't provide any evidence of any positive financial models that shows any bitcoin operation that
- 15 generates a positive cash flow, did you?
- 16 A. I did, indeed, provide that sort of model to Crystal
 17 Island, Cedar Hill, and they, indeed, upon that model were
- 18 | willing to invest.
- Q. Okay. But you didn't provide it in support of your motion for preliminary injunction, did you?
- 21 A. I didn't provide it in this particular case, no. I
- 22 provided it in the past to those who were interested in the
- 23 investment.
- Q. So you don't have any evidence to present now or in any way
- 25 | in this proceeding that supports that --

- 1 A. I don't have my laptop computer with me, no, sir.
- 2 | Q. And you recommended to Cedar Hill-- first of all, who is
- 3 | Cedar Hill?

7

- 4 A. It's an investment fund.
- 5 | Q. Okay. And what's your relationship with Cedar Hill?
- 6 A. Its principals are people with whom I'm friendly.
 - Q. Okay. And who is Crystal Island?
- 8 A. Crystal Island is a subsidiary of Cedar Hill.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then at some point they engaged you to do
- 10 | financial planning. Is that right?
- 11 A. They did not engage me to do financial planning. They
- 12 | engaged me to assist them with the diligence of this deal.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And did they pay you for that?
- 14 A. No, they did not.
- 15 Q. And why did you do --
- 16 A. There was an offer of compensation subsequent to the deal
- 17 | if it were-- if they were to go through with it.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And what was that compensation?
- 19 A. We were actually still in the middle of negotiating it. So
- 20 | there was not a final specific amount, but it was likely to be
- 21 | 5 percent of the profits from the deal.
- 22 | Q. Okay. So that was a profit-based deal?
- 23 | A. Correct. So obviously I thought it would be profitable.
- 24 | Q. Right.
- 25 You also testified in your declaration that you

6

9

- thought that the project was grossly mismanaged. Is that right?
- A. The project, prior to the arrival of Hans, I believe was in the past grossly mismanaged.
 - Q. Okay. But you put in your declaration that the project was grossly mismanaged, right?
- 7 MR. REYHANI: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - Q. You can answer.
- 10 A. Yes, I believe that the project was in the past grossly
 11 mismanaged. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And despite the gross mismanagement, you recommended to Crystal Island and Cedar Hill that they invest money. Is
- 14 | that right?
- 15 | A. Because it was essentially under new management.
- 16 Q. Right. But you specifically stated in your declaration
- 17 | that it was Mr. Vessenes that was responsible for the gross
- 18 | mismanagement. Right?
- A. Yes. And, thankfully, Mr. Olsen came along and did his best to save the day.
- Q. Right. But they went into bankruptcy, didn't they?
- MR. REYHANI: Who's "they"?
- 23 Q. Alydian went into bankruptcy. Correct?
- MR. TOWNSEND: Thank you.
- 25 A. So, yes, Alydian did indeed go into bankruptcy, but I don't

- 1 believe that's because it had negative cash flow.
- 2 | Q. And upon what basis do you allege that?
- 3 A. Well, I don't have all of the data from Alydian.
- 4 | Q. Thank you. That's --
- 5 A. But your --
- 6 Q. That's enough.
- 7 A. But your colleague made it clear to me he was going to file
- 8 | for bankruptcy if I pursued this litigation.
- 9 | Q. Well, you don't know the financial model of Alydian, do
- 10 | you?
- 11 A. I created one several months ago for Cedar Hill.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. I don't have their internal-- I do not have CoinLab's
- 14 | internal model, no. I have an older version of it. I do not
- 15 have a current version of it.
- 16 | Q. So you have no idea what happened between August of 2013
- 17 and the time they filed for bankruptcy. Is that right?
- 18 A. I don't have a full and complete picture of that, no. I do
- 19 know that the price of bitcoin has increased.
- 20 | Q. You also know that the speed of the bitcoin network has
- 21 | increased exponentially as well. Is that right?
- 22 A. As was contemplated in the original model.
- 23 | O. But it's increased at a much faster rate that was
- 24 contemplated in the original model. Right?
- 25 A. No, we had various different -- well, hold on. Whose model?

- 1 | Vessenes's model or my model?
- 2 | Q. No one's seen your model, so we don't know about your
- 3 | model. So we have to talk about Alydian's model
- 4 A. So I don't remember the details of Alydian's model
- 5 | specifically. I do know that in my model, and in my model in
- 6 discussions with Cedar Hill, we had contemplated various rates
- 7 of increase in speed, as high as and, in fact, in crazy cases,
- 8 | far higher than that which has actually occurred.
- 9 Q. Okay. Well, Mr. Vessenes and Mr. Olsen can talk about what
- 10 actually occurred based on the reality of the situation.
- 11 You agree in the agreement to bear all the risk from
- 12 | any changes in future laws, regulations, changes in technology
- 13 and other forces not within CoinLab's control. Is that
- 14 | right?
- 15 | A. That, indeed, I believe is the text of the agreement, but I
- 16 don't have it in front of me. If you say it is, then I believe
- 17 so.
- 18 | Q. And would a change in the state of the art of chip
- 19 | technology be a change in technology?
- 20 A. Definitely not.
- 21 | Q. Definitely not?
- 22 A. Definitely not.
- 23 Q. And why is that? Is a chip a technology?
- 24 A. A chip is a piece of silicon which has a purpose in terms
- 25 of its input and output.

2

4

- 1 Q. Okay. And how is that different from a technology?
 - A. I'm not really sure how to answer that question.
- 3 Q. I think you did.
 - A. It's not, though. The answer is no.
- 5 | Q. Okay. Chips are not technology. I understand --
- 6 A. No, chips are chips. Technology -- actually, if you want
- 7 to look at it from a semantic basis, technology refers to a
- 8 | broader category of items.
- 9 Q. Okay. Would a semiconductor be a technology?
- 10 A. Technology-- I don't have a dictionary definition of
- 11 | technology in front of me.
- 12 | Q. Would a computer be a technology?
- 13 A. These are examples of technological innovations.
- 14 | Q. Okay. So the chip is a technological innovation. Is that
- 15 || right?
- 16 | A. A chip is one example of a technological innovation.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And a new chip would be a new example of a new
- 18 | technological innovation. Is that right?
- 19 A. That's a fair statement, yes.
- 20 | Q. And then, also, you agreed in the same disclaimer of
- 21 | warranties that you understand and agree that services and
- 22 | bitcoins are the result of relatively new technologies and
- 23 market exchanges. Is that right?
- 24 A. The technologies in that paragraph don't refer to --
- 25 | Q. Well, I'm actually going to change your focus to the

- 1 "market exchanges" language. So moving on --
- 2 A. So I don't know exactly what the words "market exchanges"
- 3 | in that context means. If he's referring to-- if the
- 4 | contract -- if that means are there new bitcoin exchanges,
- 5 there are new exchanges that will trade fiat currency for
- 6 bitcoins.
- 7 MR. TOWNSEND: May I approach, your Honor?
- 8 THE COURT: Sure.
- 9 Q. I'm going to hand you that and I'll ask you to look at it.
- 10 | I'd draw your attention to Tab 2.
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 13 A. I do, indeed.
- 14 | Q. What is this?
- 15 | A. This is the contract that I signed --
- 16 Q. You're on Tab 1, I think.
- 17 A. My apologies. Tab 2 is not a contract.
- 18 Q. No, it's not, your Honor-- I mean, no, it's not,
- 19 Mr. Gallancy. It's an e-mail from you. Is that right?
- 20 A. Indeed, it is.
- 21 | Q. It's an e-mail that you sent on November 12th. Is that
- 22 || right?
- 23 | A. Yes, it is.
- 24 | Q. And in it you're lauding a bitcoin exchange. Is that
- 25 || right?

- 1 A. I am, indeed.
- 2 Q. And that bitcoin is called-- exchange is called ItBit. Is
- $3 \parallel$ that right?
- 4 A. It is, correct.
- 5 Q. And there are other coin exchanges, aren't there?
- 6 A. There are, indeed.
- 7 | Q. Bitstamp.net is a bitcoin exchange?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. BTC-e.com is a bitcoin exchange?
- 10 A. I believe so, yes. I haven't used that one.
- 11 | Q. And Mt.Gox.com is a bitcoin exchange. Is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Which bitcoin exchange do you use?
- 14 A. I've used several bitcoin exchanges.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And how do they work?
- 16 A. I don't understand.
- 17 | Q. How does a bitcoin exchange work? How do you get a
- 18 | bitcoin?
- 19 A. The bitcoin exchange, it usually involves going to a
- 20 website, and the underpinnings of that website are a matching
- 21 engine that matches buy orders and sell orders.
- 22 | Q. Okay.
- 23 | A. Sort of like a-- I don't know what it's like. It's a
- 24 matching one.
- 25 Q. Is it like a stock exchange?

8

9

10

11

15

16

Gallancy - direct

- A. It's not really the same as a stock exchange. A stock
 exchange-- a bitcoin exchange tends to be an amalgamation of
 several different items that all get compressed into one thing.

 A stock exchange is a discrete entity, like the New York Stock
 Exchange, and then you'd have brokers going to that entity and
 various intermediaries. So it's not quite analogous to a stock
 - Q. But as a consumer you would pay dollars or other traditional fiat-based currency and in exchange you would receive bitcoins. Right?

exchange although it bears some similarities to it.

- A. That's one way you would do it.
- Q. Okay. And, also, if you sold bitcoins, on those exchanges
 you could sell a bitcoin and receive a dollar or a euro or a

 yen or another foreign currency. Is that right?
 - A. Yes. All of this presupposes that the technology that underlies bitcoins doesn't crash in the midst of this.
- 17 | Q. Correct.
- 18 A. Which has happened.
- Q. But you've used them before successfully and turned them into dollars. Right?
- 21 A. I've used them before both successfully and unsuccessfully.
- Q. But today if you had eight thousand bitcoins, you could sell them for dollars. Is that right?
- 24 A. I don't know that. I probably could, but it's not certain.
- 25 But, yes, I probably could.

- 1 | Q. Okay. And, in fact, in your declaration, you identify
- 2 | bitcoins as a currency. Correct?
- 3 A. I don't have a copy of my declaration in front of me.
- 4 | Q. In fact, you do.
- 5 | A. Okay. Tell me what tab to look at, please.
- 6 Q. Turn yourself to the next tab, Tab 3.
- 7 | A. I do.
- 8 Q. Okay. If you look at paragraph 5, you testify that
- 9 bitcoins are a virtual currency. Is that right?
- 10 A. "Maintained through internet pier-to-pier network." The
- 11 | tail half of that sentence is essential.
- 12 | Q. Okay. But you also --
- 13 A. Without the tail half of the sentence, the first half
- 14 | wouldn't be correct.
- 15 | Q. Okay. But it's a medium of exchange in which you can turn
- 16 dollars into bitcoins. That's how the exchange --
- 17 | A. Wait. An exchange is or a bitcoin is?
- 18 | Q. Well, a bitcoin can be turned into dollars. Right? We've
- 19 | already established that. But a bitcoin is a virtual currency.
- 20 | Right?
- 21 | A. We haven't established that. You can at times exchange
- 22 | bitcoins for dollars. That is not necessarily the case at all
- 23 | times and is not necessarily the case in the future.
- 24 | Q. It's probably the case today. Right?
- 25 A. It's probably the case today, yes.

- Q. And, in fact, you testified or you provided testimony from the director of FinCEN-- first of all, what is FinCEN?
- 3 A. FinCEN is an arm of the treasury department.
- 4 Q. And FinCEN has engaged in regulation of bitcoins. Correct?
- 5 A. So FinCEN -- from what I understand, I'm not a lawyer --
- 6 has provided guidance regarding the way bitcoin is to be
- 7 | treated. I'm not intimately familiar with everything that
- 8 | FinCEN has done in the bitcoin ecosystem. I have read the
- 9 March 2013 guidance. I don't have it with me.
- 10 | Q. And you've also read the November 18th, 2013 testimony from
- 11 | FinCEN as well. Correct?
- 12 A. I've read portions of it, yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And you cite to it in your second declaration you
- 14 | filed yesterday. Is that right?
- 15 | A. That's correct.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And if I draw your attention to the next tab, Tab 4,
- 17 paragraph 47, on page 15.
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And in that you say that the director of FinCEN testified
- 20 | that "virtual currency is a medium of exchange that operates
- 21 | like a currency in some environments but does not have all the
- 22 | attributes of real currency.... And since a convertible virtual
- 23 currency either has an equivalent value in real currency, or
- 24 acts as a substitute for real currency, it qualifies as 'other
- 25 | value that substitutes for currency' under the definition of

- 1 | 'money transmission services.'" Is that right?
- 2 A. That is, indeed, in the declaration, yes, sir.
- 3 | Q. Okay. And so it's your understanding the Department of
- 4 Treasury treats the exchange of bitcoins as a money transition
- 5 service. Is that right?
- A. That's, indeed, what the director of the-- what the FinCEN
- 7 person speaking has said, yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Under the time circumstances, I'm going to say thank
- 9 you for your testimony.
- MR. TOWNSEND: And unless there's a cross, we have
- 11 other witnesses we'd like the Court to hear.
- MR. REYHANI: We'd like to reserve our right to recall
- 13 Mr. Gallancy at the conclusion of their examination.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. REYHANI: Thank you.
- 16 THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you.
- MR. SANTORI: Your Honor, I call-- by the way, this is
- 18 Marco Santori for the stenographer.
- 19 Your Honor, we call Mr. Peter Vessenes.
- 20 MR. SANTORI: Your Honor, what I'd like to do is give
- 21 | Mr. Vessenes the same binder of exhibits.
- 22 | THE COURT: Sure.
- 23 PETER JOSEPH VESSENES,
- 24 called as a witness by the Defendants,
- 25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DBKBBTTH THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your full name and spell your first name and last name slowly for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is Peter Vessenes. Peter Joseph Vessenes. P-e-t-e-r J-o-s-e-p-h V-e-s-s-e-n-e-s. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you, sir. MR. SANTORI: May I approach, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. MR. REYHANI: Your Honor, if I may, is it a 4 p.m. hard stop? THE COURT: Pardon me? MR. REYHANI: Is this proceeding a 4 p.m. hard stop? THE COURT: Well, probably.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: We have three conferences.

MR. REYHANI: No, I understand.

MR. SANTORI: In that event, your Honor, we will hit the high points of our testimony.

THE COURT: Okay.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SANTORI: 19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q. By way of introduction, Mr. Vessenes, what is your
- 21 educational background?
- 22 A. I attended Brown University. I have a Bachelor of Science
- 23 degree in theoretical math and cryptography.
- 24 And what is your business experience?
- 25 I am a serial entrepreneur largely. I've started 10 to 12

Vessenes - direct

- businesses. About five or six of them are still operating. 1
- Do you have any experience outside of those businesses? 2
- 3 Yes, as a management consultant. I also am chairman and
- 4 founder of the Bitcoin Foundation, which is the global trade
- 5 organization for bitcoins.
- And what is your relationship to the defendant, Alydian? 6
- 7 I am the managing director of Alydian.
- What about CoinLab? What is your relationship to CoinLab? 8
- 9 I'm the CEO of CoinLab. Α.
- 10 And so to put the puzzle pieces together, what is CoinLab's
- 11 relationship to Alydian?
- 12 CoinLab owns 65 percent of the common stock of Alydian and
- 13 is a creditor of approximately a half million to six hundred
- 14 thousand dollars to Alydian.
- 15 Q. So what does CoinLab mean, the name?
- Well, CoinLab is -- the idea of a lab is just making --16
- 17 making something, making something interesting. CoinLab is a
- bitcoin incubator. We want to incubate new businesses and 18
- 19 make them. It was inspired by another incubator called
- 20 IdeaLab.
- 21 So are there other incubators out there?
- 22 There are many, yeah. Betaworks is one. I think in New
- 23 York, five hundred start-ups. There's a German one that the
- 24 brothers made a couple billion dollars doing it, Rocket
- 25 Internet in Germany.

24

25

mining pool.

Vessenes - direct

1 THE COURT: When you say "incubator," what do you 2 mean? 3 THE WITNESS: It's a term of art, your Honor, which I suppose denotes a business model and that model is to create 4 5 and launch new businesses. So you incubate them. A little bit like farming, I suppose. 6 7 Q. Has CoinLab always been in this line of business, 8 incubation? 9 A. Yeah, it was always the plan to be an incubator. You start 10 with one. So we didn't always present to the public that 11 brand, but internally we had that idea from the beginning. 12 And so then has CoinLab ever run a mining pool? 13 Yes, we've run a mining pool. Α. 14 Can you just explain to me, then, is a mining pool the same thing as mining? 15 A. No. We've thrown around some technical terms. Bitcoin 16 17 mining is the act of securing the bitcoin network. You do some 18 hard cryptographic problems and math problems, and you help 19 provide overall security for this open financial network. 20 A pool, on the other hand-- now, you don't always 21 make enough money doing that mining to have some certainty of 22 your financial results. And so often those who do a little bit 23 of bitcoin mining will pool up together and a service will

provide them with some financial certainty and that's called a

3

4

14

15

16

24

25

TH Vessenes - direct

1 Q. And so we've talked about bitcoin mining.

What is Alydian's business?

- A. Alydian is in the business of bitcoin mining.
- Q. What is bitcoin mining?
- A. Well, I said a little bit about it just now, but what you should think about it is it's a pool of people who want to help secure the bitcoin network. They do that through, currently,
- 8 custom chips, custom chip design. And in exchange they get
- 9 paid, paid for doing that.
- 10 | 0. And how are new bitcoins created?
- 11 A. They are issued to miners in exchange for doing the securing work.
- 13 Q. And what kind of hardware is required for bitcoin mining?
 - A. Well, there are a lot of options, but if you want to mine right now in such a way that it will return more money than your energy costs, you need to have a fairly sophisticated
- 17 piece of custom silicon or custom chip that's been built.
- Q. Is there a specialized staffing required in addition to that specialized hardware?
- 20 THE COURT: Let me try a definition in lay terms.

 21 Bitcoin mining is using a product which generates internet

 22 algorithms which enables people to make connections. Is that a

 23 fair statement?
 - THE WITNESS: I think that's broadly true, your Honor. What the miners really do is they take the role that the bank

Vessenes - direct

fraud department would take at the bank or someone watching the 1 2 transactions that the Fed would take. But they kind of --3 everyone does it together so they look over the transactions 4 and secure them. 5 THE COURT: Well, first of all, they have to locate a 6 transaction? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. People will send them a 8 transaction and say, "Secure this for me, please." 9 THE COURT: And then they -- what do they do once they 10 get people who want to have a transaction? 11 THE WITNESS: Well, I would assume you want me to be 12 not too technical. Is that correct? 13 THE COURT: You got it. 14 THE WITNESS: What they do, they get a list of 15 transactions. If you think of any money system --THE COURT: Forgive me. They've got to do-- you 16 17 should pardon the expression-- mining. They have to locate those transactions. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Those are sent to them. People kind of 20 call them up and send them. They say, Hey, if you could, if 21 you mine my transaction for me, I'll give you a little money. 22 Miners make money that way. In exchange they time-stamp them. 23 And the time-stamping is the thing that keeps the network 24 secure. And it's very hard to do this. There's some clever

math that makes it hard, and that difficulty of finding the

time stamp is how the network sort of moderates its security and money issuance.

Vessenes - direct

THE COURT: And then, once they have the identification of the transaction, what do they do?

THE WITNESS: As soon as it's time-stamped, the whole world knows about it. And whoever was lucky enough to find that time stamp gets, right now, 25 bitcoins. And they say, Hey, good job, thank you for securing the network. We'll get another time-stamped set of transactions in about ten minutes.

THE COURT: So what do you have to do to get the time stamp?

THE WITNESS: Well, you have to do a lot of computational work. Trillions and trillions of math problems. So it's very, very hard to do that. And that's the bitcoin mining, is those hard math problems.

THE COURT: And the problem that's being solved is?

THE WITNESS: It's impossible to describe in a nontechnical way, but it's a clever problem that proves— well, I could explain it this way. You want to— if I gave you a job — but it's just to prove you worked. Here's a job. Flip coins. Videotape yourself flipping coins. And as soon as you have a video of flipping 32 heads in a row, call me and show me that video.

Now, if you called me, I would know you had done a lot of coin flipping, but I'd only need to see that one video. I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Vessenes - direct

- would know you-- it's a 50/50 chance for every tail, so it's billions of coin flips you would need to make.
 - So the bitcoin problem, the mining problem, is designed to let someone review the video later without doing all the work. And they know, okay, you've worked really hard at securing and I can see that right now.

THE COURT: Excuse the interruption.

MR. SANTORI: Not at all.

BY MR. SANTORI:

- Q. So we've heard a little bit today, and in Mr. Gallancy's affidavit, about the concept of a cyber attack.
- 12 Is the bitcoin network vulnerable to a cyber attack?
- 13 A. Yes, of course.
- 14 | Q. Is the Visa network vulnerable to a cyber attack?
- 15 A. Yes, certainly.
- 16 Q. Is it any more likely that the bitcoin network will be
- 17 | taken down by a cyber attack than the Visa network?
- 18 A. I don't think so.
- 19 Q. Is it any more likely than the banking network being taken
- 20 down by a cyber attack?
- 21 A. I don't think so.
- 22 | Q. Do bitcoins exist physically?
- 23 A. No, they're just data.
- 24 | Q. Is there a limit to the number of new bitcoins that will be
- 25 | created?

- A. Yeah. The bitcoin network promises to only issue 21 million or so bitcoins.
- 3 | Q. And when will the bitcoin network stop issuing bitcoins?
- 4 A. The current promise is around the year 2140.
- 5 | Q. So in 100 years?
- 6 A. 127 years maybe.
- 7 | Q. What happens then?
- 8 A. Well, bitcoins will continue on. And I mentioned to Judge
- 9 Sweet that people who want transactions time-stamped pay a
- 10 | little bit. Miners will keep getting paid to do that
- 11 | time-stamping and transaction acting. They're paid now and
- 12 | they'll be paid then.
- 13 Q. Even a hundred years from now --
- 14 A. Of course we speculate, you understand, because we'll be
- 15 dead. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Well, speak for yourself.
- 17 \parallel A. There we go.
- 18 | Q. So even in a hundred years from now, when new bitcoins
- 19 | cease to be created, would it be correct to say that miners
- 20 | will still make money even after--
- 21 A. Yes, they will certainly receive bitcoins in exchange for
- 22 mining.
- 23 | Q. If you wanted to get bitcoins right now, what would you
- 24 do?
- 25 A. I would buy them on an exchange.

Vessenes - direct

- THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- THE WITNESS: I would buy them on a bitcoin exchange.
- 3 Q. Could you do anything else to get bitcoins?
 - A. You could mine them as well.
- $5 \parallel Q$. Anything else?
- 6 A. Not that I'm aware of. You buy them or you make them.
- 7 Q. Could you buy them from somebody in person?
- 8 A. Oh, that's true, of course. Yeah.
- 9 Q. Have you personally traded bitcoins for dollars on an
- 10 exchange?

- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Is it difficult to do?
- 13 A. No, it's very easy.
- 14 | Q. What does it take?
- 15 | A. You send your money to an exchange with a wire transfer,
- 16 you load a web page and you click a button.
- 17 | Q. If you wanted to get bitcoins a year ago, what would you
- 18 have done?
- 19 A. I would have bought them on an exchange.
- 20 | Q. If you want to get bitcoins a year from now, what would you
- 21 | do?
- 22 A. I will buy them on an exchange.
- 23 || Q. Would you be able to buy them from somebody in person?
- 24 A. Yes, of course.
- 25 | Q. Do you have any reason to believe today that you wouldn't

- 1 | be able to do that?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. How are prices established on these exchanges that you've
- 4 discussed?
- 5 A. It's like Mr. Gallancy said. It's an open market, which
- 6 I'm sure the district here knows a lot about. Bids and asks.
- 7 | Q. On those markets, is one bitcoin worth more than any other
- 8 | bitcoin?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Is there any reason why a merchant would accept one bitcoin
- 11 | but not another bitcoin?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 Q. Is there any reason why a creditor would accept one bitcoin
- 14 | but not another bitcoin?
- 15 | A. No. In fact, even in Mr. Gallancy's complaints, he's
- 16 | willing to accept bitcoins from multiple sources. He doesn't
- 17 | care where they come from.
- 18 | Q. Is a bitcoin mined today any more valuable than a bitcoin
- 19 | mined tomorrow if in a week you sell them at the same time?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 | Q. When people sell on the market, do those sellers charge a
- 22 premium for one bitcoin over another bitcoin?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Is a mined bitcoin worth any more than a bitcoin purchased
- 25 on exchange?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 \ Q. So are bitcoins fungible?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Dan Gallancy said that one bitcoin can be different from
- 5 another. Is that true?
- 6 A. Yeah, that's true. Maybe like \$20 bills can be different
- 7 | from each other, but they're still fungible.
- 8 Q. Can one bitcoin be made to be different than another
- 9 | bitcoin?
- 10 A. Yes. You could write on your \$20 bill. You could attach
- 11 data to anything, including a bitcoin.
- 12 | Q. So can you, as Mr. Gallancy said --
- 13 A. But, I mean-- do I have a \$20? If I write on my \$20, it's
- 14 still, you know-- like, I can write on it, but it's still a
- 15 | \$20. It doesn't change its moneyness to attach some data to
- 16 | it.
- 17 | O. So you could take a \$20 bill and write-- could you write a
- 18 | deed on a \$20 bill --
- 19 | A. Yeah, you could write a contract on a \$20 bill and it would
- 20 still be a \$20 bill.
- 21 | Q. Could you do the same with a bitcoin?
- 22 A. I suppose so.
- 23 | Q. Would any of that have been done to the bitcoins that
- 24 | Alydian or CoinLab could have mined?
- 25 A. No. No, that was never conceived of.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

needed.

Vessenes - direct

- 1 | Q. So back to Alydian. How did Alydian come into existence?
- 2 A. I'm just worried about time.
- Q. I'm sure that the Court will hurry us along if that's required.
- 5 THE WITNESS: How are we doing?
- Q. If we go back to Alydian, can you just tell us, very briefly, how did Alydian come into existence?
 - A. It was incubated by CoinLab in the fall of 2012. That means that we sourced a business model, we recruited a team, and we found a capital partner to launch the business.
 - Q. And what happened in the fall of 2012?
 - A. So we raised \$500,000 and began a chip project. It went okay, not great. And through the spring of 2013 we realized we would need more money. We knew we needed at least a million dollars at the time. Final budget for Alydian was four million, so we were wrong, very wrong, about how much we

But we sold some contracts to what we call prebuyers,

- which counsel mentioned here. They prepurchased bitcoins from
- 19 us. It was a way to finance the project. And that raised as a
- 20 | total about \$1.4 million at that time.
- 21 Q. And what were Alydian's obligations under those prebuyer 22 contracts?
- 23 A. So those contracts— those contracts were pretty simple.
- 24 We would deliver bitcoins to people. If we couldn't deliver
- 25 them, we would refund all their money. It's actually

Vessenes - direct

- something— there are a few contracts CoinLab, Inc. has with
 these prebuyers. I've been wanting to refund them since
 Alydian went into bankruptcy, but I wasn't sure if I could
 based on the restraining order because it's not in the ordinary
 course of business. So we have a few of those. We'll refund
 their money as soon as we can. And if we're able to deliver,
- Q. I'd like you to turn to Tab 6 in the binder that you've been provided.
- 10 | A. Okay.

7

11 | Q. Do you know what this is?

great, we would deliver the coins.

- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | 0. What is it?
- 14 A. This is the initial contract with Dan Gallancy that Alydian 15 signed.
- 16 Q. And who prepared it?
- 17 A. My lawyers prepared it.
- 18 | Q. And how do you maintain these kinds of documents?
- A. These are stored in paper form and then also scanned and pdf'd.
- 21 Q. Do you maintain this in the normal course of your
- 22 | business?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And is it the normal course of your business to maintain
- 25 | these documents?

1 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

MR. SANTORI: I'd like to introduce this document as—are we anywhere yet on exhibits? I believe this would be Exhibit Number 1.

THE COURT: Okay. Defendants' 1 is admitted.

(Defendants' Exhibit 1 received)

- Q. With whom was this agreement?
- A. This was with Daniel Gallancy.
- Q. Is this one of those prebuy contracts that you mentioned?
- 10 | A. It is.
- 11 Q. Did these prebuy contracts raise all of the money that 12 Alydian needed?
 - A. No, absolutely not. As I said, the final cost of the Alydian project were roughly \$4 million, and these prebuy contracts got us up to about 1.4 million.
 - Q. So why did Alydian need more capital?
- 17 Well, it's very expensive to do a chip company and, at the 18 same time, there were market forces outside our control. So 19 there were -- the bitcoin network was growing more and more 20 quickly and competitors were bringing new chip technology on. 21 So at the start of the project, everyone had 110 nanometer 22 chips. When we launched, we thought the 65-nanometer chips 23 were better than the 110; would be enough. As the project went 24 on, it became clear other people had went to 28-nanometer 25 Each of these jumps requires more technology, a more chips.

Vessenes - direct

sophisticated engineering team to play with them, you have to purchase more equipment.

Additionally, the network sped up so quickly. It was originally ten trillion hashes a second, 10 terahashes a second. By the time we were about to deploy, it was hundreds of terahashes a second. One to two hundred. It was increasing 1 to 2 percent a day. And we had budgeted for 1 percent a day growth, but it was as much as 3 percent a day at times. That meant not only did we have to buy more equipment, but every day you waited, you lost 3 percent of all your future earnings. So it was urgent, so we had to pay rush fees. Buy more equipment and pay rush fees on top of it.

- Q. So you said equipment, hardware, rigs. What we are talking about?
- A. It's like a computer server that only makes bitcoins. It's your custom chips in it and then all the other technologies around it that let you do the mining.
- Q. Did everybody at Alydian agree that Alydian should continue mining in the face of this speed increase?
 - A. No. No, in the summer there was significant debate internally. Hans, who was managing day-to-day operations, was not sure we should continue. The CFO at CoinLab and I actually— as Alydian ran out of money, CoinLab was putting more in. Like I said, the second largest creditor now to Alydian. The CFO and I had quite a discussion about it and she

Vessenes - direct

- essentially resigned over this issue. Like it's not wise to continue, but I wanted to continue doing it.
 - Q. So how did you ultimately deal with this?
- 4 A. Well, we tried to raise money. We had a relationship with
- 5 | Crystal Island. They made us an offer that we couldn't accept.
- 6 We found another offer that we did accept to invest money into
- 7 Alydian. We took that. CoinLab put in more money. Actually,
- 8 | right up until the end of the bankruptcy claims, I was pouring
- 9 | in cash.

- 10 Q. Why didn't you accept Crystal Island's offer?
- 11 | A. Two things. One is we knew we needed \$2 to \$3 million to
- 12 | do the whole project. Crystal Island only wanted to offer like
- one-million-something. They wanted extremely onerous terms,
- 14 | kind of New York hard money lender terms. And they also
- 15 | wanted -- and Dan referred to this. They wanted some, like,
- 16 unbounded liabilities for CoinLab that we just thought, on the
- 17 || CoinLab side, we couldn't possibly sign up for.
- 18 | Q. Was Crystal Island a bitcoin mining expert?
- 19 A. No, not particularly.
- 20 | Q. Were they financial experts?
- 21 A. I would think so.
- 22 | Q. So how did they evaluate the investment?
- 23 | A. Well, essentially they looked at, you know, is the project
- 24 | real? Can we actually do what we say we can do? And then they
- 25 | looked at how hard will it be to make the bitcoins? They used

- the bitcoin difficulty metric to do that. We had significant debate over how many terahashes a second would be coming
- 3 on-line or through the end of the year.
- 4 | Q. Did they put anybody in charge of due diligence for the
- 5 | investment?
- 6 A. They did.
- 7 | Q. Who was that?
- 8 A. Daniel Gallancy.
- 9 Q. Was he satisfied with the investment after doing this due
- 10 | diligence?
- 11 A. I believe so. He told me that he would recommend they go
- 12 ahead.
- 13 | Q. How did you determine whether, even with an investment, the
- 14 | business would have a chance of success?
- 15 \parallel A. So we built a fairly sophisticated financial model.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry, continue.
- 17 | A. But, again, the salient-- well, you can-- I'll tell you
- 18 about the salient points when you're ready. We built a model.
- 19 | Q. Okay. I'd like you to turn to Tab 7, please.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 | Q. Do you know what this is?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | 0. What is it?
- 24 A. This is the summary page for one of the financial models we
- 25 | built to assess Alydian.

Vessenes - direct

- Q. Is this the financial model you were referring to in your testimony?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Were there others?
- 5 | A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 6 Q. But this is just one of them?
- 7 A. This is one of them.

8 THE COURT: Excuse the interruption. What we will do 9 is we will have a short recess. Maybe 10, 15 minutes.

Something like that. It might be a little longer. Then we will resume. We will stop at 5:30 and, if we're not finished, we will resume tomorrow morning.

(Recess)

MR. SANTORI: Mr. Vessenes.

THE COURT: You're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Okay. That changes everything.

THE COURT: Yes, I know.

- 18 BY MR. SANTORI:
- Q. Mr. Vessenes, when we left off, we were discussing your financial model.
- 21 A. Yes, on Tab 7. Is that correct?
- 22 Q. Right.
- Is this the financial model you were referring to in
- 24 | your testimony?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. And who prepared it?
- 2 A. CoinLab and Alydian employees and contractors. So me,
- 3 | Jodie Brady, Hans Olsen.
- 4 | Q. And how did you prepare it?
- 5 A. With Excel.
- 6 | Q. And how did you retain it?
- 7 A. It's kept in your standard file servers.
- 8 | Q. Was it maintained in the normal course of your business?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is it the normal course of your business to maintain such
- 11 | documents?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 MR. SANTORI: I'd like to introduce this as the
- 14 | financial model, Alydian's financial model, the document on Tab
- 15 | 7.
- 16 | THE COURT: It's admitted. Defendants' 2, I take it.
- 17 MR. SANTORI: Yes, thank you, your Honor.
- 18 | (Defendants' Exhibit 2 received)
- 19 Q. What does the financial model show? Actually, withdrawn.
- Is this the entire Excel spreadsheet?
- 21 | A. No, it's not.
- 22 | Q. How long would the entire Excel spreadsheet have been had
- 23 | we printed it out today?
- 24 A. It would have been, I think, hundreds of pages.
- 25 Q. Okay. And so what is this that we're looking at here?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A. This is a summary at a point when we were trying to decide how many terahashes of mining capacity to deliver. We had three estimates: The column 230 terahashes at the top, 550 or 870. We had hoped to have this model so your Honor could see some of the things we were thinking about. But, in summary, we were projecting a 1 percent growth rate per day for the bitcoin mining network. And that was, we were estimating, going to yield 1,897 terahashes on the rest of the network by the end of the year. In that model all of our business plans looked like they made some money.
- Q. So you said "terahashes." What are terahashes?
- 12 A. So, again, a hash is like a try. It's like that coin
- 13 | flipping for bitcoin mining. A terahash, t-e-r-a-h-a-s-h, is a
- 14 | trillion of them. And so it's a lot. It's a lot of those
- 15 hashes.
- Q. What would happen had the network speed increased beyond 1
- 17 percent?
- 18 A. Well, we did some analysis on that and it seemed like at
- 19 | 1.25 percent, Alydian was marginal per day. It was very, very
- 20 sensitive to this growth rate. Anything over that and we
- 21 | thought that it was unlikely to make money.
- 22 Q. Did Dan Gallancy see this model?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Have you seen any of Dan's models?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . Not one?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. How did Dan see this model?
- 4 A. So in the course of explaining the Alydian business, we
- 5 reviewed this model. And the model has-- it shows that we were
- 6 going to reinvest capital and operating -- mining into capital
- 7 | and operating expenses and it has returns estimates. So we
- 8 | e-mailed this to Dan, and then he reviewed it with me on the
- 9 phone multiple times and also, I believe, with Jodie Brady.
- 10 | Q. You said you e-mailed it to Dan. I'd like you to turn to
- 11 | Tab 8.
- 12 | A. Okay.
- 13 | Q. Do you know what this is?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. What is it?
- 16 A. This is an e-mail sent to, among other people, Dan with the
- 17 | model attached to it.
- 18 Q. And who prepared it?
- 19 | A. I did.
- 20 | Q. Did you maintain this document in the normal course of your
- 21 | business?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Is it the normal course of your business to maintain such
- 24 documents?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. SANTORI: I'd like to introduce this exhibit as Exhibit 3.
- 3 | THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 4 (Defendants' Exhibit 3 received)
 - Q. In the course of his due diligence, did Mr. Gallancy develop his own estimate of the network speed?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. How do you know that?
 - A. He told me on the phone.
- 10 | Q. Oh, and what was his estimate of the network speed?
- 11 A. Well, we were using end of the year as a kind of date to
- 12 | estimate how much mining capacity there would be. And he told
- 13 me that Cedar Hill was estimating four thousand terahashes, but
- 14 | that I think he said, "You and I both agree that it's going to
- 15 | be more like two thousand." So he told me his own internal
- 16 estimates were about two thousand terahashes.
- 17 | Q. What kind of a growth rate would that be?
- 18 A. That was a little over 1 percent. It was 1 to 1.05 per
- 19 | day.
- 20 Q. So at that rate would Alydian still have been arguably
- 21 profitable?
- 22 | A. I believe so. I think it could have repaid any capital
- 23 | that it needed and its customers at that growth rate. I think
- 24 so.
- 25 | Q. So now the year hasn't ended yet, but what's the total

53

network speed right now? 1

DBKBBITH

2

3

4

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Today it's five thousand terahashes. Α.
- And you thought -- how much did you think it was going to 0. be?
- Two thousand but in some time, and it grows geometrically. 5
- I think Mr. Gallancy estimates in his declaration it might be 6 7 as much as 20,000 by the end of the year. That seems very
- 8 possible to me.
 - Q. Did anyone at Alydian or CoinLab believe that it would be a viable company at this growth rate?
- 11 That gets into the 2 to 3 percent a day growth rate 12 range and no one thought that it was viable at that rate.
 - THE COURT: Why not? I think I know the answer, but why not?

THE WITNESS: Well, your Honor, the reason is that you have to share proceeds with everyone else who's mining. Ιf there's a hundred of you and you got one, you get 1 percent. If there's a thousand and you got one, you get a tenth of a percent.

So we had purchased -- you know, we were deciding how much to purchase, but in the event we purchased 220 terahashes. Now, if there's only going to be two thousand terahashes other than us, we would get about 10 percent of all the bitcoins mined. If there's five thousand or 10 or 20, we get less and less bitcoins.

25

Vessenes - direct

So, you know, and the difference, it sounds like not a 1 2 lot, but we calculated out the annual percentage rates for 1 3 percent a day versus 3 percent a day. One percent a day is about 3,700 percent a year in annual growth and we were ready 4 5 for that, I believe. Three percent a day is 4.8 million 6 percent a year annual growth and we just couldn't -- we couldn't 7 compete with that. That was way out of our control. So you just testified that the network speed changed. But 8 9 did anything change about the hardware required to keep up with 10 that network? 11 A. Yeah. As it gets harder and harder -- if you have older 12 technology chips, they're not as effective. They require more 13 energy. They require more data center space. So all your operating costs go up if you have old technology. 14 15 Ο. Can you explain that, the difference in that technology? I mean, we refer to them by nanometer range. So a 16 17 65-- Hans could explain much more about this. I'm not really 18 an expert. But a 65-nanometer chip like ours might cost three to four times as much as a 28-nanometer chip. 19 20 those, we can see some are out now and more are coming. You 21 just see this incredible growth rate where other companies have 22 very, very different operational and capital dynamics than 23 Alydian. 24 So given the network speed increase that nobody expected

and the change in chip technology required to keep up with

- 1 | that, did anybody at Alydian believe that it would be-- or
- 2 | CoinLab-- believe that it would be a viable company at this
- 3 | growth rate?
- 4 A. No, no one would have ever thought at a 2 to 3 percent a
- 5 day growth rate that it was viable.
- 6 | Q. I'd like you to turn to Tab 9, please.
- 7 | A. Okay.
- 8 \ Q. Do you know what this is?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 \parallel 0. What is it?
- 11 A. This is an e-mail chain between Hans Olsen and myself and
- 12 some others.
- 13 | Q. How do you retain e-mails?
- 14 A. They're kept by Gmail. We don't have to do anything to
- 15 retain them.
- 16 | Q. Do you maintain these kinds of documents in the normal
- 17 | course of your business?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is it the normal course of your business to maintain such
- 20 documents?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 MR. SANTORI: I'd like to introduce this as
- 23 Defendants' Exhibit 3.
- 24 | THE WITNESS: I think it's four.
- THE COURT: It's admitted.

1 MR. SANTORI: Four. Thank you. (Defendants' Exhibit 4 received) 2 3 THE WITNESS: So we were discussing that we had chips 4 to build more bitcoin systems on the dates these e-mails were 5 sent, in mid-October. So we had already paid for those. And 6 we were trying to decide if it was worth paying to assemble 7 them and deploy them at all. And you could read Hans's e-mail, which is really on the next page, the second page: "The rate 8 9 of network deployments continues to increase at a surprising 10 pace. It seems deployments in late November have a lot of risk, but minimal or no return." 11 12 In general, we all agreed in the course of these 13 e-mails that we should not even reinvest in building out all 14 the chips we had. We didn't think we could make any money doing that. 15 Q. So turning back to the financial model, what would the 16 17 financial model predict for this 2 to 3 percent increase that 18 we were seeing? 19 A. Just doom, basically. I think that's the technical term. 20 I mean massive losses. 21 Q. And what if you had already purchased all of your chips and 22 all you had to do was assemble them and install them and plug 23 them into the data center? 24 A. Well, that's what happened here. We have the chips --25 there's a lot more to get those chips working. There's

- engineers and there's assembly and costs. Even in that event we didn't want to do that.
- Now, if you have a fully assembled bitcoin mining system ready to go, you may or may not want to run that.
- 5 | That's a little bit of a different situation.
- Q. So you testified earlier that you were looking for capital.
- 8 A. Yeah.
- 9 Q. What if you weren't able to find capital for Alydian on workable terms? What would have happened?
- 11 A. We would have had to shut it down.
- 12 | Q. Would it have been able to mine bitcoins?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. Would it have been able to mine bitcoins for Dalsa Barbour,
- 15 | Dan Gallancy or Bitvestment?
- 16 | A. No.
- Q. Did you discuss the possibility of shutting down with
- 18 anybody?
- 19 A. Yeah, we talked it over with the board. And then, as Dan
- 20 became more aggressive, we also told him it was a possibility.
- 21 | Q. I'd like to turn to Tab 10.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Do you know what this is?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?

- 1 A. This is a printout of a board PowerPoint.
- 2 | Q. Is it, though, part of a discussion that you were referring
- 3 | to about shutting down Alydian?
- 4 A. Absolutely. One of many.
- 5 | Q. Did you maintain this document in the normal course of your
- 6 business?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is it the normal course of your business to maintain such
- 9 documents?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 MR. SANTORI: I'd like to introduce this as
- 12 Defendants' Exhibit 5.
- 13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 14 (Defendants' Exhibit 5 received)
- 15 Q. Does it say anywhere in this presentation that you're
- 16 considering shutting down?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- 18 | Q. Where?
- 19 | A. Well, on the "What's next?" page, which is -- it's kind of
- 20 | in the middle of the document. It's after two that say
- 21 | "Challenges." Then it says "Go forward options." The last
- 22 | slide is an order-- these are different possibilities we're
- 23 discussing now.
- 24 | Q. Can you refer to a number in the bottom right --
- 25 A. I'm sorry, the Bates number is 637. I'm sorry. So you can

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Vessenes - direct

- see here we're talking, well, maybe we keep deploying, but
 we'll have to get additional capital to do that. Maybe we can
 get these into retail configurations. As it happened, we
 decided that would not be helpful. Maybe we should go to a
 smaller geometry. Should we keep trying to find hosting or
 - Q. When you say "go to a smaller geometry," does that mean-is this third-- I'll withdraw that.

What does that mean?

maybe we should shut down.

- A. It means, you know, as I told you, we have these 65-nanometer chips, but they were not likely to be competitive. So we were saying perhaps we should figure out how to get 28-nanometer chips if we like the business and it's viable.
- Q. Did you think you had to change your technology to keep up with this?
- 17 | A. It was certain.
- Q. Would switching to a 28-nanometer device be a change in technology?
- 20 A. I believe so, yeah. I mean, it's a totally new technology.
- Different machines make them. It's much harder to do. I would call it a change in technology.
- 23 | Q. Did Alydian ultimately find financing?
- 24 A. It did. It received financing from its seed investor,
- 25 X-ray Holdings, PLC.

- 1 | Q. And what were the terms of that arrangement?
- 2 A. X-ray Holdings put in 12,500 bitcoins in exchange for
- 3 | 15,000 bitcoins back essentially. I'm simplifying, but that's
- 4 | the rough.
- 5 | Q. Did you offer those terms to Crystal Island?
- 6 A. Yeah, we did. We offered terms similar to them in a
- 7 | counteroffer.
- 8 | Q. What was their response?
- 9 A. They were not interested.
- 10 | Q. Did X-ray Holdings ever receive any bitcoins that Alydian
- 11 | mined?
- 12 A. No, X-ray Holdings has received no bitcoins mined from
- 13 Alydian. They are the largest creditor to Alydian.
- 14 Q. So has Alydian mined the bitcoins?
- 15 \parallel A. It has, yes.
- 16 Q. Since December of 2012, has CoinLab mined any bitcoins?
- 17 | A. Not to my knowledge. It's possible maybe a little bit in
- 18 development for Alydian, but not-- no, essentially.
- 19 Q. And how many would you say --
- 20 | A. If it had, it would be like a tenth of a bitcoin or
- 21 something.
- 22 | Q. I see.
- 23 A. De minimis.
- 24 | Q. In early October, what was the status of Alydian?
- 25 A. Well, bitcoin mining speeds around the network are

- increasing far more rapidly than we thought. We need another

 \$500,000 or so of parts to finish our deployment. I have

 unhappy staff who have been working for a year and are going to

 make no money. And then we've got a possible lawsuit with
- 5 Dalsa Barbour.

- Q. How fast was the network increasing at that time?
- 7 A. It was increasing between 2 to 3 percent a day. Something 8 like that.
- 9 Q. And remind us, what growth rate had Alydian projected around that time?
- 11 A. We had projected 1, maybe 1.1.
- Q. So am I right to say that the network speed increased three times as fast as what Alydian's projections planned for?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Well, then, how fast was the network speed increase?
- 16 A. As I was telling Judge Sweet, I mean, it's more in this,
- 17 like, 4 million percent a year range, you know, as an
- 18 annualized number. Just incredibly fast.
- 19 Q. And then what annualized number were you prepared for?
- 20 A. More like 3,700 percent or something. So it was like-- I
- 21 don't know. It was 150 times faster, or something, than we
- 22 | thought it would be growing.
- Q. Did Alydian's personnel believe that given this, Alydian
- 24 | should continue?
- 25 A. No. But I will say that we thought there was a chance

- some money could be returned to creditors and we wanted to do
 that. And so CoinLab put in some money just for a bankruptcy,
 which it's now a creditor on, just in an effort to make sure
 that like creditors to Alydian got something out of it.
 - Q. How much did CoinLab ultimately invest in Alydian?
 - A. CoinLab invested approximately a million dollars. So about 550 in equity and then another 500-ish. We're still kind of sorting everything out in the bankruptcy in debt.
 - Q. Did that investment make Alydian competitive?
- 10 A. No. And somewhat obviously.
- 11 | Q. Why not?

5

6

7

8

- A. Again, we had this incredible, like, outside force of the bitcoin network speed. Our chips aren't competitive anymore.

 It's nine months to do another project and we would need to go raise -- after that wonderful success, we would need to go
- raise 6 to 15 million dollars and start immediately. Like, it
 just didn't seem possible. With the debt stack, that left us
 substantially insolvent.
- 19 Q. So what did Alydian do?
- 20 A. Went bankrupt.
- 21 Q. Why?
- 22 A. I think I just explained it. It was insolvent. Its
- 23 | liabilities seemed to significantly exceed its earning
- 24 potential or assets.
- 25 | Q. In the weeks preceding the bankruptcy, did CoinLab invest

- 1 | any more money into Alydian?
- 2 A. Yeah. As I said, it put in about another \$500,000 just to
- 3 make sure that the parts and so on would get paid for.
- 4 | Q. Is that in addition to the one million it put in earlier?
- 5 A. No, it's a total of about a million.
- Q. Okay. So please direct your attention to the next tab in the exhibit.
- 8 A. This is 11, Marco?
- 9 | Q. Right, 11.
- 10 A. Okay, thanks.
- 11 Q. Actually-- yeah.
- 12 | A. I like 11. I'm down with 11.
- 13 Q. Just one second.

14

15

21

22

23

24

- What's your understanding of CoinLab's obligations under its agreement with Dalsa Barbour?
- A. Well, I believe that CoinLab is required to make best
 efforts to mine bitcoins for Dalsa Barbour. And I also believe
 that once any mining operations that has commenced on ever
 turned capital and operating expenses, then it is due the first
 7,900 coins, give or take.
 - However, there's an out, which I believe is triggered here, and the out is quite simple. It says if there are changes in technology or market forces outside of CoinLab's control, then Dalsa Barbour takes all risks. And so to my mind, I don't think-- I don't believe, aside from Judge

- Sweet's restraining order, that we owe Dalsa Barbour anything. I think it's almost incontrovertible that market forces have made this an impossible business to pay back capital and operating expenses. That's my belief.
 - Q. Now, today, and in their papers and in their exhibits, the plaintiffs argued that CoinLab has not made best efforts to mine bitcoins.

Would you agree with that?

M. No.

5

6

7

8

- 10 | Q. I'd like you to take a look at what's behind Tab 11.
- 11 | A. Yeah.
- 12 | Q. Do you know what this is?
- 13 | A. Yes, I do.
- 14 | Q. What is it?
- 15 A. This is some documents we prepared in response to the TRO
- 16 | from Judge Sweet assessing some of the suggestions that Dan
- Gallancy made in one of his many letters as to how we might
- 18 | mine bitcoins.
- 19 Q. Is it the normal course of your business to keep such
- 20 documents?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And do you maintain such documents in the normal course of
- 23 | vour business?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- MR. SANTORI: I'd like to introduce this as

7

8

11

Vessenes - direct

- 1 Defendants' Exhibit 5.
- 2 | THE COURT: You're talking--
- THE DEPUTY CLERK: Six, I think.
- 4 MR. SANTORI: Is it six now?
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.
- 6 MR. SANTORI: Tab 11.
 - (Defendants' Exhibit 6 received)
 - Q. Can you walk us through this chart, please?
- 9 A. Yeah, absolutely. So CoinLab has no mining equipment. It
 10 needs to somehow obtain mining equipment or otherwise purchase
- 12 Q. But isn't Alydian a mining company?

bitcoin mining in some way.

- 13 A. I said CoinLab.
- 14 | Q. But isn't Alydian a mining company?
- 15 A. Yes, but CoinLab is not. It's an incubator. It just
- 16 starts businesses.
- 17 | Q. Does CoinLab have bitcoin mining hardware?
- 18 A. No, it doesn't.
- 19 | Q. Could CoinLab right now begin to mine if it wanted to?
- 20 A. No, it has no mining equipment right now.
- 21 | Q. Okay. So please take us through what this chart means.
- 22 | A. So to my mind -- and I think that Dan Gallancy said
- 23 | exactly this in his letter -- you could go buy some bitcoin
- 24 mining equipment and start; or, you know, there are fairly
- 25 sophisticated derivative-type things that lets you bitcoin mine

Vessenes - direct

without hardware, and he listed some.

So we're not done with this. I apologize that this is not— what I wanted was an expert, an independent expert, to provide this testimony for us because I was very annoyed to hear these intimations that, like, I'm a bad guy and I'm lying. I thought, let's try and get an expert. And I said in my declaration that we tried five different people, each of whom we offered \$400 an hour to do this and we couldn't find anyone in time. So we were a little time crunched. I worked through some of the options given and then we picked the ones that we thought most likely to return capital.

Now, Dan had suggested that we purchase eight hundred terahashes of equipment, and so we used that number. Now, when you purchase bitcoin mining equipment, you worry about the price and also the network growth rate. In the last week, the price has been between \$350 and \$900. I mean, it probably changed hundreds of dollars up and down while we're talking here.

So I wanted to assess some different prices for the returns because you have to think about that as well. So if you'd like, I can explain what each of these are.

- Q. Please do.
- A. Okav.
- Q. To start at the top, CEX.io sells realtime bitcoin mining.
- 25 You buy it and they provide hashes to you. It's kind of like

Vessenes - direct

purchasing an energy contract. To buy eight hundred terahashes there today would be \$36.6 million. Or I guess like yesterday, is when I last updated these numbers, but it may be different today.

The minimum growth rate we've seen in any two-week period since the summer is 1.6 percent daily growth rate. And obviously the average is between 2 and 3. So at those growth rates, purchasing this raw hashing power managed by someone else would have a negative return on capital, minus \$16 million to minus \$25 million. And that would be at-- again, I updated the bitcoin price yesterday for that. That's a \$580 price.

CoinTerra is what, I would say, is the most likely high-technology chip provider. You can't actually buy their mining rigs yet, not till March. However, they're run by a very sophisticated chip design team from Samsung and they quote a price that you can purchase these mining rigs. And so it would be about \$2.5 million to purchase these for March delivery.

You could look at the sea of negative numbers, but we didn't find any world in which running these for two years would return capital. They're all money losers.

Finally, one that I thought was particularly annoying, it was suggested we purchase these really small USB sticks on Amazon called ASICMiner. And Mr. Gallancy claims this business is a market leader. They're actually out of business, so this

Vessenes - direct

is old stock. But were we to buy the ones he suggested and if we had bitcoins at \$900, which is the peak price we've ever had for five minutes ever, it would cost you \$96 million to buy them and they would return, you know, hugely negative numbers.

So to me mind -- and I think this is why I believe the contract's impracticable -- I do not see-- no one has suggested-- these are all suggestions from the plaintiff as to how to do this, but no one has suggested a way that comes even close to returning capital and operating expenses. So I don't know what we do. I think the contract conceived of this exact situation. Market forces outside our control make it impossible to make money bitcoin mining.

- Q. To wrap this up, I have to ask, did Alydian exercise its best efforts to mine bitcoins?
- 16 | A. Yes.
 - Q. How did it do that?
 - A. It purchased bitcoin mining chips. It had a chip design project that purchased all the hardware that would be used to create the bitcoin mining rigs. It went out and recruited engineers and hosting companies and so on and deployed them.
 - Q. Did CoinLab exercise its best efforts to mine bitcoins?
 - A. Yes, I believe so. First by funding Alydian, when that was the way we mined, and then, postbankruptcy and postrestraining order, by attempting to assess the market and figure out if

- 1 | there's a way to do this.
- Q. Did you ever rent warehouse space? I'm sorry, did CoinLab
- 3 | ever rent warehouse space on Alydian's behalf?
- 4 A. Yeah, we've got office and warehouse space in Portland.
- 5 | Q. What does it mean to rent this space on Alydian's behalf?
- 6 A. So we would enter into contracts and then we bill Alydian
- 7 | for the net costs of the space.
- 8 | Q. Is that standard in the incubator industry?
- 9 A. Yeah, it's very common to do that.
- 10 | Q. And can you give us an example?
- 11 A. Yeah, like Rocket Internet does exactly that. They'll put
- 12 staff and-- very similar to what we've done. Staff, resources,
- 13 | technology, all those things, and they'll charge it cost plus.
- 14 | Q. What ability did CoinLab have to mine bitcoins itself since
- 15 | December 2012?
- 16 A. We haven't had that ability.
- 17 | Q. And why not?
- 18 A. We're a business incubator. So to the extent we wanted to
- 19 | mine, we launched a company and that's the company that does
- 20 | the mining.
- 21 | Q. What ability does CoinLab have to mine bitcoins now?
- 22 | A. We don't have the ability to mine bitcoins right now.
- 23 | Q. What would it take if you did want to mine-- if you wanted
- 24 | to deploy and manage bitcoin hardware?
- 25 A. One thing, we'd have to recruit a new team because our

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Vessenes - direct

- current team is working on Alydian. We would have to-- or I
 suppose if one of these things look like they made money that
 didn't require a team, you could just -- you could buy it.

 But, I mean, to do the kind of scale imagined to pay back these
 9,000 bitcoins, you'd have probably a team of five or six
 people, significant hosting arrangements. Hundreds of
- 8 Q. And how much would all that cost, roughly?
 - A. I mean, I gave some estimates just here in this Exhibit 11 for a few different things. It will vary depending on what you do.

thousands of dollars a month in hosting costs and staff.

- Q. How much would it cost in electricity alone?
 - A. Well, Alydian's electricity costs are like \$200,000 a month maybe right now just for the 220 terahashes. That technology could cost as much as a million dollars a month in electricity if you were to scale it out to the amount that Dan Gallancy wants.
- Q. Can't you just purchase a premade bitcoin mining rig?
 - A. We assessed that here. Yeah, you can go buy them. They just don't make money. They won't pay you back. So there's no market assessment we've done or seen that says— in fact, actually we used— we also use as a backup the calculator Mr. Gallancy suggested to us, TheGenesisBlock.com. None of
 - Q. Mr. Reyhani said earlier that this preliminary injunction

those show any return of capital either.

Vessenes - direct

1 | would be in the public interest.

Do you agree?

- 3 A. No, I don't. He claimed that I had-- CoinLab had creditors
- 4 | that I suppose the judge could force us to pay back. We want
- 5 | to pay them back. We're actually barred from doing that now
- 6 because they mostly want bitcoins for their refunds and I think
- 7 | that's outside the ordinary course of our business right now.
- 8 | Q. Is this action hurting Alydian's ability to repay its
- 9 creditors?
- 10 A. Yeah. We've got Hans sitting here all day. He's sitting
- 11 here rather than working on the Alydian bankruptcy and
- 12 operations.
- 13 | Q. Can Alydian continue to mine and repay its creditors
- 14 | without CoinLab's personnel?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. Will existing employees with mining expertise stay if
- 17 | CoinLab is continued to be subject to this lawsuit for however
- 18 | many millions of dollars?
- 19 A. It's speculative, but I don't think so. I mean, they're
- 20 | helping out Alydian for personal reasons now. It's not
- 21 | lucrative for them. I don't imagine you do that forever, it's
- 22 | very stressful, but I don't know.
- 23 MR. SANTORI: Thank you, Mr. Vessenes.
- 24 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. REYHANI:

Vessenes - cross

- 1 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Vessenes.
 - A. Good afternoon.
- 3 Q. My name is Bryan Reyhani.

Isn't it true that on October 29th, 2013, around the time the parties were sitting here in court, that CoinLab

- 6 transferred bitcoins out of its bitcoin addresses?
- 7 | A. No.

2

- Q. It's not true?
- 9 | A. No.
- Q. Okay. It's not true-- isn't it true that-- and I'm going to use shorthand version -- that two addresses, 18AQ and 1G3C
- 12 | are CoinLab addresses?
- 13 A. No, they're not.
- 14 | Q. Whose addresses are they?
- 15 A. I believe those are Alydian addresses.
- 16 Q. Those are Alydian addresses?
- 17 A. I believe so.
- 18 Q. You understand that your counsel in a letter-- your
- 19 counsel, Mr. Townsend, represented on September 24th, 2013,
- 20 | that CoinLab mined bitcoin to the following bitcoin addresses:
- 21 | 18AQ and 1G3C.
- 22 Was Mr. Townsend incorrect?
- 23 MR. SANTORI: Objection, your Honor. I believe what
- 24 Mr. Reyhani is referring to was settlement -- were letters sent
- 25 | back and forth during settlement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

Vessenes - cross

MR. REYHANI: We didn't settle anything on this document.

MR. SANTORI: "CoinLab has no interest in engaging in costly and protracted litigation in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, but only" --

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SANTORI: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. REYHANI: Your Honor, there was no settlement offer or anything like that in the document.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. Are those not -- those are Alydian's addresses?
- 12 A. I believe so. I mean, you're kind of throwing this at me 13 here and I don't have all the books, but I believe so.
- 14 Q. You reviewed Mr. Gallancy's affidavit. Right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. So two days before Alydian filed for bankruptcy,
- 17 Alydian was transferring out a thousand bitcoins to another
- 18 address?

Α.

- 19 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. That address, the 12zZ address, isn't it true that that address holds 15,000-plus bitcoins?

I believe so.

- 23 Q. Your counsel stated that the bitcoin mining process,
- 24 generally it's a money loser. I quoted that. You stated that
- 25 | running a bitcoin mining pool won't make you enough money.

- But you can generate bitcoins. Is that not correct?
- 2 A. Who do you mean by "you"?
- 3 Q. Anyone who engages in mining could generate bitcoins. Is
- 4 | that right?
- A. Any party who engages in mining can generate bitcoins, that
- 6 | is correct.
- 7 | Q. You testified before that CoinLab did, in fact, mine a
- 8 | bitcoin or a part of a bitcoin during the course of this past
- 9 | year. Is that not correct?
- 10 A. I said that it was possible.
- 11 | Q. How would that be possible?
- 12 A. Well, perhaps some software, some hardware is being used in
- 13 | tests. Again, I speculate. I have no knowledge of this, but
- 14 perhaps it was being used in a test environment and went to an
- 15 | address controlled by CoinLab. Perhaps. But, again, I have no
- 16 knowledge that that happened.
- 17 | Q. So that would be some third party with software or hardware
- 18 | that would be mining that bitcoin for CoinLab?
- 19 | A. It's totally speculative. I'm not even sure I understand
- 20 | what you're asking.
- 21 | Q. Can a third party mine-- can a third party be contracted to
- 22 | mine bitcoins for CoinLab?
- 23 A. Of course.
- 24 | Q. Okay.
- 25 A. And, in fact, I've shown you some on the sheet we just gave

DBKBBITH Vessenes - cross

- 1 you.
- 2 | Q. Okay. You stated that you're a serial entrepreneur?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. You signed contracts?
- $5 \parallel A.$ Often.
- 6 Q. Hundreds? Thousands in your lifetime?
- 7 A. I couldn't speculate.
- 8 Q. Do you believe you're bound to what you sign?
- 9 A. Of course.
- 10 Q. Okay. You testified earlier that the first bitcoin
- 11 | contract or the bitcoin contract referred to as Defendants'
- 12 | Exhibit 1 --
- 13 A. This is the Daniel Gallancy contract.
- 14 Q. I'm sorry.
- Isn't it true, Mr. Vessenes, that the second contract
- 16 | that Mr. Gallancy entered into with CoinLab was with the
- 17 | CoinLab entity itself?
- 18 A. CoinLab, Inc. you're referring to, I guess?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. Yes. We had two contracts with Dan Gallancy and the second
- 21 one was with CoinLab, Inc.
- 22 | Q. And isn't it true that monies were paid to CoinLab, Inc. in
- 23 consideration for those contracts?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And isn't it true that the third contract, the

- amended agreement, that CoinLab, Inc. was a party to that agreement?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And isn't it true as an affiliate you are a party to that
- 5 contract as well?
- 6 A. Me personally?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. I don't think so. I never signed it.
- 9 Q. You are listed as an affiliate of CoinLab and Alydian and
- 10 | CLI. Right?
- 11 A. I don't know what to say. I'm not a lawyer, but I didn't
- 12 | ever sign a personal contract with Dan.
- 13 | Q. Okay. On behalf of CoinLab, Inc. or in general, you
- 14 | believe you're bound to what you sign. Correct?
- 15 | A. Yeah. If I sign on behalf of a corporation, I'm binding
- 16 the corporation. If I sign on behalf of myself, I believe I'm
- 17 | binding myself. Again, I'm not a lawyer, but that's what I
- 18 | understand.
- 19 Q. But CoinLab itself, if I'm understanding your testimony,
- 20 never had any intent to do any mining itself whatsoever?
- 21 A. CoinLab, Inc. certainly intended to mine in the way that it
- 22 | normally did business, through its subsidiary, Alydian.
- 23 Q. But there's no reference to how CoinLab was intending to
- 24 mine other than the fact that CoinLab was going to mine.
- 25 | Correct?

- A. I don't understand. Which agreement are you referring to?
- 3 Q. Any of the agreements.
- 4 A. We didn't talk methodologies, no.
- 5 | Q. Right. So CoinLab is obligated to mine. Correct?
- 6 A. I believe given the provisos we discussed, that CoinLab,
- 7 Inc. is obligated to use best efforts for Dalsa Barbour. But
- 8 there are significant provisos that are obviously a key. I
- 9 mean, they're a key --
- 10 | Q. That's your opinion. I respect that. That's fine.
- And best efforts is a step up from commercially
- 12 reasonable efforts. Correct?
- 13 | A. So I'm told.
- 14 | Q. You're really, really obligated to do something. Correct?
- 15 A. Please, you're the lawyer.
- 16 | Q. And the TRO that Judge Sweet executed ordered that CoinLab
- 17 | use best efforts to mine and deliver about eight thousand
- 18 | bitcoins to Bitvestment. Is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- 20 | Q. And you placed before the Court lots of analyses regarding
- 21 | the costs of purchasing equipment and starting a whole new
- 22 | venture that were going to cost tens of millions of dollars.
- 23 | Is that correct?
- 24 A. Some of the estimates were in that range; some were less.
- 25 | Q. Did you reach out to any third-party miners to contract

- 1 | with them to mine for you?
- 2 A. We got quotes from third-party miners. For instance, on
- 3 | that sheet is CEX.io. That's a third-party miner.
- 4 | Q. You elected not to hire them to mine Bitvestment's
- 5 | bitcoins?
- 6 A. Because I don't have \$36 million and I don't believe I'm
- 7 | bound to that when it looks like it will lose \$26 million. I
- 8 did not contract with them.
- 9 Q. There are no miners you could go to right this second and
- 10 | say, I'm going to give you "X" dollars for you to mine
- 11 | bitcoins?
- 12 A. One could do that, for sure.
- 13 | O. You did not do that. Correct?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 | Q. You differentiated earlier between CoinLab mining and
- 16 | CoinLab running a mining pool.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Mining pools generate bitcoins. Is that correct?
- 19 A. I guess it's a matter of semantics. I think the miners in
- 20 | the pools generate the bitcoins, not the pool itself.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And you can incentivize those miners to mine
- 22 | bitcoins for CoinLab. Correct?
- 23 A. Again, we have some speculation. One could start a mining
- 24 pool and incentivize miners, yeah.
- 25 Q. You did not on behalf of CoinLab incentivize miners to

- operate a mining pool to produce bitcoins for Bitvestment. Is that correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you make an announcement on your website, on any website, or anywhere for that matter, saying that you needed
- 6 miners to begin to mine bitcoins for CoinLab immediately?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Because it would cost money?
- 9 A. No, that's not why.
- 10 Q. But third-party miners could have in the last three weeks
- 11 | mined bitcoins for Bitvestment. Is that correct?
- 12 | A. No, I don't believe they could have.
- 13 | Q. How many bitcoins have been mined-- 50,000-plus bitcoins
- 14 have been mined since the TRO was executed. Is that correct?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 | O. You don't know?
- 17 A. No, I don't know. It's changing all the time.
- 18 | Q. It's going up, right, the amount of bitcoins that are being
- 19 || mined?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. It's not going down.
- 22 | A. That's correct.
- 23 | Q. So if you contracted with any of those miners that were
- 24 | fortunate enough to mine bitcoins, then CoinLab would have
- 25 | bitcoins to deliver to Bitvestment. Is that correct?

- 1 A. That's not correct. Aren't you curious why?
- 2 | Q. No.
- So you indicated before that Cedar Hill is willing to qive you some financing. Correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And they were willing to give you what I believe to be
- 7 | about a million dollars at the time or what I really believe to
- 8 | be 10,000 bitcoins. Correct?
- 9 A. I believe that's the offer we discussed, yeah.
- 10 | Q. Okay. And you turned down that offer?
- 11 | A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. And you turned that offer down because you claim the terms
- 13 were too onerous?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. Okay.
- 16 | A. Well, not just-- there are other reasons. For instance, it
- 17 | was about a third of the capital we thought would be needed to
- 18 | finish the Alydian deployment. And it had requirements for
- 19 | CoinLab that required, like, unbounded investment for CoinLab.
- 20 Neither of those were appealing to us. We didn't think they
- 21 were workable.
- 22 | Q. So you chose not to accept Cedar Hill's money?
- 23 A. Of course.
- 24 | Q. So you went to a third party to get financing. Correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 | Q. And that's John Doe in this matter?
- 2 A. I don't know. You've named John Doe. That party's X-ray
- 3 Holdings, PLC.
- 4 | Q. That's Brian Cartmell?
- 5 A. Brian Cartmell owns X-Ray Holdings, PLC.
- 6 | Q. And what did Brian Cartmell do?
- 7 A. He offered us a better deal.
- $8 \parallel Q$. What was that deal?
- 9 A. I told Marco about it already. The rough is 12,500
- 10 | bitcoins in on a loan in exchange for 15,000 coins returned.
- 11 | Q. And what were Cedar Hill's offer?
- 12 | A. They wanted to put in only 10,000. They required 20,000
- 13 | bitcoins back and they required possible unlimited investment
- 14 from CoinLab, Inc.
- 15 | Q. Okay. But you were having various discussions with Dan and
- 16 whomever else as to how to structure that deal. Is that not
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. Yeah. I don't believe we got a signed term sheet from
- 19 | them.
- 20 | Q. But there were ongoing discussions?
- 21 | A. Sure.
- 22 | Q. So if you would have taken the Cedar Hill money and Brian
- 23 | Cartmell's money, wouldn't that have put CoinLab in a much
- 24 | better position to mine bitcoins?
- 25 A. I don't think so. If you're going to lose money in an

- investment, doubling your debt stack doesn't help you. It just
 makes things works.
- 3 Q. But those 10,000 bitcoins that Cedar Hill would have given
- 4 you would have been worth anywhere between \$5 and \$10 million
- 5 | today. Correct?
- 6 A. It's immaterial. You would have had to spend them on
- 7 parts. Isn't that what we're talking about?
- 8 Q. You don't always have to pay for delivery up front. Isn't
- 9 | that correct?
- 10 A. In our business you do.
- 11 | Q. There are terms with every vendor where you can negotiate.
- 12 | Isn't that true?
- 13 A. That is not true in our business. Ask Hans about it. We
- 14 have to pay cash up front for almost everything.
- 15 | Q. So Brian Cartmell or X-ray Holdings, whichever entity it
- 16 was, gave CoinLab the 12,5 and --
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. No?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. What did they do?
- 21 A. He gave it to Alydian.
- 22 | Q. He gave it to Alydian.
- 23 A. He invested it in Alydian.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And he's the 35 percent owner of Alydian?
- 25 A. He's also the 35 percent owner of Alydian.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

- Q. Okay. But had you taken Cedar Hill's money, irrespective of the terms, worked it out, you would have been in a better position to either buy the rigs, outsource it, do whatever it was to generate bitcoins?
 - A. I disagree. I mean, you imagine a scenario that doesn't exist. We never had terms from Cedar Hill that were anywhere near as favorable as these terms. How can you speculate?
 - Q. Had you taken Cedar Hill's money, you would have had at least another million dollars with which to play to facilitate the production of bitcoins. Is that not correct?
 - A. Perhaps, but we would have had many other commitments as well.
 - Q. And had you taken that money, you would have actually put all the prebuyers it would have been in the best interests of all the prebuyers, because then the bitcoins would have been made a little faster—
- 17 A. No, that's incorrect.
 - Q. That's incorrect?
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. Isn't it true you didn't take Cedar Hill's money because it would have pushed you down in the stack of bitcoins?
- 22 A. No. Who's "you" by the way?
- 23 | Q. You personally.
- A. No. I'm a creditor to Alydian now personally, but it was immaterial.

- Q. Isn't it true that best efforts would have required you to take in Cedar Hill's money and put it to use?
- 3 A. No, I don't think so.
- 4 | Q. You indicated that CoinLab and Alydian are two separate
- 5 companies. Correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 | Q. Different corporate structure?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Completely different?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Different tax I.D. numbers?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. You claim in among the papers that CoinLab would
- 14 purchase equipment and then bill it to Alydian.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 | 0. Is that correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And how much were those expenses that Alydian had to pay
- 19 | CoinLab for that equipment?
- 20 A. Millions of dollars, I think. I believe. I'm not the CFO.
- 21 In that range.
- 22 | Q. So essentially it was CoinLab's billing to Alydian for
- 23 | these purchases that put Alydian into bankruptcy?
- 24 A. If you imagine parts are free and inventory is free. I
- 25 mean, what do you mean? No. It's the purchasing of parts that

- did not generate enough money to finance the debt stack that
 drove it into bankruptcy.
- 3 Q. Alydian's equipment to this day can generate bitcoins.
- 4 | Correct?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. At the time you and Mr. Gallancy first discussed
- 7 | business opportunities, isn't it true that you represented to
- 8 | him that it was CoinLab's goal to take over 90 percent of the
- 9 | network?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. You never said that?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. You are intimately aware of the changes in chip size?
- 14 A. Probably more than you.
- 15 | Q. I would suspect.
- So you've been aware over the course of the past year
- 17 of the change from 110 to 65 to 28. Is that correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Even prior to the August amended agreement with Dalsa
- 20 | Barbour/Bitvestment. Correct?
- 21 | A. I don't know about Bitvestment, but we certainly new
- 22 | 28-nanometer chips were coming.
- 23 | Q. And you knew that before the amended agreement?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. How many bitcoins do you have personally?

Vessenes - cross

- 1 MR. SANTORI: Objection. Relevance, your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 3 Q. Have you mined bitcoins personally?
- 4 MR. SANTORI: Objection. Relevance, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. How have you done that?
- 8 A. The last time I mined it, you could use a graphics card, a
- 9 3D card. It would maybe cost \$150 at Best Buy. This was
- 10 sometime ago. 2011 probably. 2012? I don't know. Early 2012
- 11 | maybe. Something like that. You run it in your office and it
- 12 | makes, you know, like .024 bitcoins a day. It varies every
- 13 day.
- 14 Q. Okay. If I could turn your attention back to Exhibit 5
- 15 | that your counsel previously showed you before. D-5.
- 16 | A. Exhibit 5?
- 17 | Q. Yes.
- 18 | A. Tab 5?
- 19 | Q. Tab 10.
- 20 A. Tab 10. Okay. Yes, the board meeting. Is that what
- 21 | you're referring to?
- 22 | Q. If I could turn your attention to the page Bates stamped
- 23 | 641.
- 24 | A. Sure.
- 25 | Q. You see the middle section which says "Debt Summary"?

DBKBBITH Vessenes - cross

1 | A. Yes.

2

4

5

7

MR. SANTORI: Which page are we on?

3 MR. REYHANI: 641.

MR. SANTORI: Thank you.

- Q. And there's three lists-- there's three entities or
- 6 | individuals listed: Cartmell, Dan Gallancy, and prebuyers.
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You see the last column, bitcoins to be returned to
- 9 Cartmell were about 1.8 million and bitcoins to other prebuyers
- 10 | were \$950,000?
- 11 | A. Uh-huh.
- 12 | Q. And Dan's is specifically omitted?
- 13 | A. Uh-huh.
- 14 | Q. Isn't it true you never intended to return the bitcoins to
- 15 | Bitvestment?
- 16 A. Bitvestment I don't know anything about.
- 17 | Q. To Dan.
- 18 A. To Dan Gallancy? Now, in fact, the column right next to it
- 19 | has some numbers for him.
- 20 | Q. But you ran a scenario where you explicitly were going to
- 21 exclude Dan from receiving those bitcoins.
- 22 \parallel A. Yeah. I believe that the business was impracticable. He
- 23 | wouldn't be owed anything. And that's unlike the contract he
- 24 got out of, which allowed him rescission rights basically. But
- 25 he changed his contract. You can see there we're upholding all

- 1 those other contracts.
- 2 | Q. Okay.
- MR. REYHANI: Your Honor, if I could have one minute,
- 4 please.
- 5 | THE COURT: Sure.
- 6 (Pause)
- 7 MR. REYHANI: Just one or two more questions.
- 8 Q. Isn't it true, Mr. Vessenes, that you could put on your
- 9 website or start another website or whatever's most practical,
- 10 | you could put an advertisement out there to say I'm seeking
- 11 | miners to mine bitcoins for me and that probability-wise,
- 12 depending on their computing power, bitcoins would be produced
- in a matter of days?
- 14 A. Bitcoins would be produced. Yes, I think I would agree
- 15 | with that statement.
- 16 Q. And if CoinLab collected those bitcoins from those miners,
- 17 | those bitcoins could be transferred rather immediately to
- 18 | Bitvestment. Is that not correct?
- 19 A. No. Only after any expenses had been paid would coins go
- 20 to Dalsa Barbour per our agreement.
- 21 | Q. That's your interpretation of it. I'm just saying --
- 22 | A. That's a pretty plain language of the contract. Dan read
- 23 | exactly that.
- 24 | Q. Again, irrespective of that --
- 25 A. Okay. All right. So you grant me that there may have been

- 1 | some expenses associated with doing this mining?
- 2 | Q. I'm not granting you anything. I'm asking you a simple
- 3 | question: If CoinLab puts out a little advertisement that says
- 4 seeking miners, pays them something, bitcoins can be produced
- 5 | rather immediately and turned over to Bitvestment?
- 6 A. No. We've got-- I mean, what do you mean "can"? Under our
- 7 | contract? I don't understand.
- 8 | Q. I'm just asking you in general. If you took-- forget about
- 9 | the contract.
- 10 | A. Do you --
- 11 | Q. Forget about the contract.
- 12 A. So in a world with no contract. Yes, one can absolutely --
- 13 | Q. Let me ask the question. Assuming arguendo --
- 14 | A. I don't know what "arguendo" means. I'm sorry.
- 15 Q. For the sake of argument.
- 16 | If you put out a website or advertisement that said
- 17 I'm seeking miners to mine for me--
- 18 A. I'm with you so far.
- 19 | Q. -- and they mined bitcoins for you--
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. -- you would be able to turn them over to any other third
- 22 party if you were ordered to.
- 23 | A. I agree, it's a money. You can move it to anyone you
- 24 | wanted.
- 25 | Q. You agree that it could get transferred?

DBKBBITH Vessenes - cross

- 1 \parallel A. I agree.
- 2 MR. REYHANI: Nothing further at this time.
- 3 MR. SANTORI: I have only a couple of questions on
- 4 | redirect, your Honor.
- 5 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. SANTORI:
- 7 Q. Mr. Reyhani mentioned two bitcoin addresses -- I believe
- 8 | they were 12zZ and one other one -- that were holding 15,000
- 9 | bitcoins.
- 10 Where do those bitcoins come from?
- 11 A. Well, they're mostly-- they're purchased. Actually they're
- 12 | from-- CoinLab purchased all those bitcoins, is probably the
- 13 best way to say it.
- 14 Q. Did CoinLab mine those bitcoins?
- 15 A. No, it did not.
- 16 | Q. Did Alydian mine those bitcoins?
- 17 A. Alydian mined some of them, yes, and used them to pay for
- 18 parts, but the vast majority of them were from Brian Cartmell's
- 19 | investment.
- 20 Q. Does the contract obligate you to pay Dalsa Barbour your
- 21 | bitcoins?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. Does it obligate you to use best efforts to mine bitcoins
- 24 and then send them to Dalsa Barbour?
- 25 A. Once capital and operating expenses are paid, yes, provided

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- that there are not market forces outside our control that make it impracticable.
 - Q. Mr. Reyhani put to you a hypothetical: Why don't you just make an announcement to all the bitcoin miners in the world to come mine for you.

Why didn't you do that?

- A. Well, any business activity like that, especially when it looks like it might take millions of dollars, it might lose you millions of dollars, needs some analysis, I think, to just do your fiduciary duty for a corporation. So we need to know if that's a good idea before we do that.
- Q. But do you know if that would be profitable or not?
- 13 A. I don't believe it would be from the knowledge we have 14 right now.
 - Q. Why don't you believe it would be profitable?
- 16 A. Just from the analysis we have done, bitcoin mining costs
 17 more to procure than it looks like it will return.
 - Q. Mr. Reyhani put to you another hypothetical: Why don't you just seek out contracts with other miners to mine for you and pay those miners to do it.

Why didn't you do that?

- A. Same thing. There's a market price for bitcoin mining right now and it did not look that the results of that mining will return capital.
- Q. Mr. Reyhani also talked about a change in chip size. I

Vessenes - redirect

- 1 | shouldn't say chip size. I'll withdraw that.
- 2 Mr. Reyhani talked about a change in chip
- architecture, and then he asked you if you knew about that
- 4 change in chip architecture.
- 5 Was that change within your control?
- 6 | A. No.
- 7 | Q. Was it within CoinLab's control?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Was it within Alydian's control?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Mr. Reyhani also talked about you mining individually
- 12 | today and the way that you mined in 2011. How did you mine in
- 13 | 2011?
- 14 A. I purchased a graphics card from Best Buy.
- 15 Q. And how much did that cost you?
- 16 | A. It was \$150. It was fun.
- 17 | Q. Would it be profitable if you did that today?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 | Q. Would it have been profitable in August?
- 20 | A. No.
- Q. Mr. Reyhani also pointed out that there was a missing entry
- 22 | for Dan Gallancy in the CoinLab board presentation.
- 23 Why was there a missing entry in the board
- 24 presentation?
- 25 A. Well, as I was saying, it looked that the business might be

Vessenes - redirect

- impracticable. You know, I had a fiduciary duty to the
 corporation. We've got to figure out how to do the best thing
 for the corporation. So if there's a contract that looks like
 there's nothing due, then we need to estimate results of that.
 - Q. Did Dan ever threaten to sue you?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

10

11

- Q. Did he threaten to sue you before that presentation?
- 8 A. I don't remember the dates. I think it's quite likely, 9 though.
 - MR. SANTORI: Thank you. No more questions.
 - THE WITNESS: Can I get down?
- 12 THE COURT: Just a minute.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 14 | RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. REYHANI:
- Q. Just so we're abundantly clear, when you assessed the situation of going to third-party miners, you didn't do it
- 18 because the returns to CoinLab would not be there. Correct?
- 19 A. That's not correct.
- 20 | O. That's not correct.
- 21 But they would still be mining bitcoins for CoinLab.
- 22 | Correct?
- A. One could pay bitcoin miners to mine coins for CoinLab,
- 24 yes.

25

Q. But you did not see the return on capital for CoinLab.

DBKBBITH Vessenes - recross

1 | Correct?

4

5

8

- 2 A. I'm not sure I understand. I think no model we saw showed
- 3 | that the amount that that cost would ever be paid back.
 - Q. All right. So CoinLab would be out-of-pocket money.
 - A. I would presume so.
- 6 MR. REYHANI: Okay. Nothing further, your Honor.
- 7 MR. SANTORI: Nothing further, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You're excused.
 - THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 10 (Witness excused)
- MR. TOWNSEND: Your Honor, defendants call Hans Olsen
- 12 | to the stand.
- 13 HANS OLSEN,
- called as a witness by the Defendants,
- 15 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 16 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your full name and
- 17 | spell your first name and last name slowly for the record.
- 18 | THE WITNESS: Name is Hans Olsen. First name H-a-n-s,
- 19 | last name O-l-s-e-n.
- 20 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you, Mr. Olsen. Please be
- 21 seated.
- 22 MR. TOWNSEND: Your Honor, may I approach with the
- 23 | binder?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes.
- 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

DBKBBITH Olsen - direct

1 BY MR. TOWNSEND:

- 2 | Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Olsen. Can I draw your attention to
- 3 | the Tab 12 of the binder?
- 4 | A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 6 | A. I do.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . What is this?
- 8 A. It is the summary of returns for contemplated --
- 9 Q. No, I'm sorry. You're on Tab 11, I think. Tab 12 should
- 10 be your CV.
- 11 A. Oh, sorry. Yes, that's my CV.
- 12 MR. TOWNSEND: Barring any objection, move to admit
- 13 Mr. Olsen's CV as Defendants' Exhibit 6.
- 14 THE COURT: Seven.
- MR. REYHANI: Seven.
- 16 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 17 (Defendants' Exhibit 7 received)
- 18 | Q. Mr. Olsen, can you describe your educational background?
- 19 THE COURT: Maybe we can speed this up just a little
- 20 | bit.
- MR. TOWNSEND: Sure.
- 22 | THE COURT: You've given me a declaration, Mr. Olsen.
- Do you affirm all of the statements in that
- 24 | declaration?
- 25 THE WITNESS: I do.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. Anything you want to tell us in addition to that? 2 3 THE WITNESS: Well--4 THE COURT: No, no. Any questions with respect to 5 additions? 6 MR. TOWNSEND: I would just stay at the high level. 7 BY MR. TOWNSEND: Q. Mr. Olsen, in your experience, how long does it take to 8 9 launch a bitcoin mining operation? 10 A. With today's requirements for the technology, to do a 11 reasonably effective mining operation, you would take anywhere from nine to 18 months, depending on how you approach it. 12 13 Q. And how would you suggest that it be approached given 14 today's technology? 15 A. Well, if you have design teams, if you have technology access, you may be able to do it in a nine-month period to get 16 17 to the ASIC device. After that, then you have to put it in your hosting environment and start your mining operation. 18 For most conventional models, would take you like 12 19 20 to 15 months, but in the best case you might be able to do it 21 in nine months. 22 THE REPORTER: Did you say ASIC? THE WITNESS: ASIC, A-S-I-C. 23 24 THE COURT: What does that stand for?

THE WITNESS: It stands for application-specific

Olsen - direct

- integrated circuit. And it's really used today in the bitcoin community wrongfully. It's not really an ASIC. An ASIC is a term that was used in the late '80s and the '90s for custom devices. Today an ASIC, as it's referred to in the bitcoin community, is really a customizing.
 - BY MR. TOWNSEND:

unprecedented.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And have you observed in your experience in the bitcoin mining industry changes in technology that have affected the practicability and viability of bitcoin mining?
 - A. Yes, I have. When I first became involved with bitcoins, the technology was at 110-nanometer technology. It's rapidly progressed over the last 12 months to a 28-nanometer technology, which is hydrofast. Even in the technology communities that we're in today with semiconductors, technology progressions typically do not happen that quickly. There's been a lot of developments, a lot of mining efforts going into bitcoin mining and the technology accelerations have been
 - Q. And in addition to the technology acceleration in the chips, are there other technology accelerations that were unanticipated?
 - A. Well, I think the capital required for hosting these advanced technologies is, again, happening faster than typical and certainly faster than anticipated.
 - Q. And in your experience, can you buy mining equipment, other

1

capital and operational expenditures, on credit for a bitcoin

Olsen - direct

- 2 mining operation?
- 3 A. Well, in our case we're not able to do that. We have been
- 4 | able -- with one exception. We are required to pay for all our
- 5 | material up front. In part due to concerns about the bitcoin
- 6 | business, bitcoin community; in part due to the lack of capital
- 7 | that CoinLab/Alydian has had available to it.
- 8 Q. And can you describe to me, to the Court, briefly the
- 9 complications associated with cooling bitcoin mining rates?
- 10 A. Yeah. The operation or the intricate circuits in a bitcoin
- 11 | mining application is unusual in the way that it is operating
- 12 | 24 hours a day, constantly. It is on all the time. Most
- 13 semiconductor devices are not operating at its peak performance
- 14 constantly. In a mining application, it operates at 100
- 15 percent constantly. It requires levels of cooling, again, that
- 16 are unprecedented. They only see similar in other server
- 17 | applications. But even in server applications, the power
- 18 requirement is less than half, sometimes a third, of what is
- 19 | required for a mining operation. And that places really,
- 20 | really stringent requirements for cooling which can only be
- 21 attained in a data center environment, which brings additional
- 22 cost and complexity into operating a mining business.
- 23 | Q. And, in fact, you have to have a special section of the
- 24 data center that has additional cooling. Is that right?
- 25 A. That's how we've been able to work it out with our data

Olsen - direct

- centers, is that we have special sections of the data center
 that has additional cooling over and above what's normally
 provided.
 - Q. And despite the additional cooling, you've had fires. Is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.

4

- 7 Q. Can you describe those fires? Or maybe it's just one fire?
- 8 A. One fire.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. In spite of the cooling, some of the cooling design in the
- 11 | initial stages was not adequate to sufficiently cool the
- 12 equipment. And, in fact, it caused some of the insulation on a
- 13 | wiring harness to catch on fire.
- 14 Q. And did that destroy some of the mining rigs?
- 15 | A. It did.
- 16 Q. And is there a relationship between the cooling obligation
- 17 and the cooling requirements and the chip size?
- 18 A. There is. The older the technology-- and here, when you
- 19 say "older," it's 110 nanometer and 65 nanometer. The older
- 20 the technology, the more power is required. The advanced
- 21 | technology, 28 nanometer, requires less cooling.
- 22 | Q. But it requires more electricity. Right?
- 23 A. But it requires -- to get the same amount of
- 24 mining capacity, it does require more, yeah.
- 25 | Q. And the Court asked the question earlier about whether or

Olsen - direct

- 1 | not mining machines were connected to the internet.
- 2 Are they connected to the internet?
- $3 \parallel A$. They are, yes.
- 4 Q. But they're not connected to the internet in the same way
- 5 | that a normal server would be. Is that correct?
- 6 A. The physical connection is similar.
 - Q. Is similar. Okay.
- 8 A. Right.

- 9 Q. Did you meet with Mr. Gallancy in the course of his due
- 10 | diligence on behalf of Cedar Hill, Crystal Island?
- 11 | A. I did.
- 12 | Q. And can you describe those meetings?
- 13 A. We met in mid-August as part of the due diligence efforts
- 14 on behalf of Cedar Hill. And I participated, together with
- 15 | others of staff members, in a session over a period of two,
- 16 | three days.
- 17 | Q. And did you discuss financial models with him at that
- 18 | time?
- 19 | A. We did.
- 20 Q. And to your recollection, did those financial models
- 21 contemplate that the proceeds from bitcoin mining would pay for
- 22 | capital and operational expenditures?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And do your vendors generally accept bitcoins for
- 25 equipment and hosting and other operational and capital

Olsen - direct DBKBBITH expenditures? A. None that I'm aware of. Q. So you're required to convert bitcoins to cash in order to pay your vendors. Is that right? A. Correct. THE COURT: Tomorrow, 10:00. Thank you. MR. TOWNSEND: Thank you, your Honor. MR. REYHANI: Thank you, your Honor. (Adjourned to November 21, 2013, at 10:00 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	DANIEL H. GALLANCY
4	Direct By Mr. Townsend
5	PETER JOSEPH VESSENES
6	Direct By Mr. Santori
7	Cross By Mr. Reyhani
8	Redirect By Mr. Santori
9	Recross By Mr. Reyhani
10	HANS OLSEN
11	Direct By Mr. Townsend
12	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
13	Exhibit No. Received
14	1
15	2
16	3
17	4
18	5
19	6
20	7
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	